

Cardinal invariants for \mathcal{C} -cross topologies

Andrzej Kucharski

*Institute of Mathematics, University of Silesia,
Bankowa 14, 40-007 Katowice
akuchar@ux2.math.us.edu.pl*

Szymon Plewik

*Institute of Mathematics, University of Silesia,
Bankowa 14, 40-007 Katowice
plewik@ux2.math.us.edu.pl*

Received: 28/04/06; accepted: 12/09/2006.

Abstract. \mathcal{C} -cross topologies are introduced. Modifications of the Kuratowski-Ulam Theorem are considered. Cardinal invariants *add*, *cof*, *cov* and *non* with respect to meager or nowhere dense subsets are compared. Remarks on invariants $\text{cof}(\text{nud}_Y)$ are mentioned for dense subspaces $Y \subseteq X$.

Keywords: \mathcal{C} -cross topology, cardinal invariant, meager set, nowhere dense set

MSC 2000 classification: primary 54A10, secondary 03E17, 54E52

1 Introduction

Let $X \times Y = \{(x, y) : x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y\}$ denote the Cartesian product of sets X and Y . For a subset $G \subseteq X \times Y$ let G_x denotes a vertical section $\{y \in Y : (x, y) \in G\}$ and let G^y denotes a horizontal section $\{x \in X : (x, y) \in G\}$. Let X be a set and \mathcal{F} be a family of subsets of X . Assume that $X = \bigcup \mathcal{F}$. Consider following cardinal invariants assigned to X and \mathcal{F} .

- The minimal cardinality of a subset $Y \subseteq X$ with $Y \notin \mathcal{F}$ is denoted by $\text{non}(\mathcal{F})$.
- The minimal cardinality of a subfamily $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ such that $\bigcup \mathcal{W} \notin \mathcal{F}$ is denoted by $\text{add}(\mathcal{F})$.
- The minimal cardinality of a subfamily $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ such that $\bigcup \mathcal{W} = X$ is denoted by $\text{cov}(\mathcal{F})$.
- The minimal cardinality of a subfamily $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ such that for any $Y \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists $Z \in \mathcal{W}$ with $Y \subseteq Z$, which is denoted by $\text{cof}(\mathcal{F})$.
Recall a few well know (a folklore) facts.
- $\text{cov}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \text{cof}(\mathcal{F})$.

- If $X \notin \mathcal{F}$, then $\text{non}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \text{cof}(\mathcal{F})$.
- If $X \notin \mathcal{F}$, then $\text{add}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \text{cov}(\mathcal{F})$.
- If $\{\{x\} : x \in X\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, then $\text{add}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{F})$.

Such cardinal invariants have been used by many authors. Usually, these invariants are considered for subsets or subfamilies of the reals \mathbb{R} . Sometimes for other topological spaces. For instance J. Kraszewski [10] studied inequalities between such cardinal invariants for Cantor cubes. Z. Piotrowski and A. Szymański [19] considered cardinal functions add , cov and non for arbitrary topological spaces. Nevertheless, compare the last survey article by A. Blass [2] which contains a huge bibliography.

Let X be a topological space with a topology μ . The ideal of all nowhere dense subset of X is denoted by nwd_X or by nwd_μ ; but \mathcal{M}_X or \mathcal{M}_μ denote the σ -ideal of all meager subsets of X . A family \mathcal{F} of non-empty subsets of X is a π -network if each non-empty open subset of X contains a member of \mathcal{F} . Any π -network is called π -base whenever it contains open sets, only. The minimal cardinality of a π -base for X is denoted by $\pi(X)$. In this note it is assumed that any cardinal invariant is infinite. A topological space which satisfies the Baire category theorem is called Baire space. If a T_1 -space X is dense in itself, then $\bigcup \text{nwd}_X = X$ and $X \notin \text{nwd}_X$ and $\{\{x\} : x \in X\} \subseteq \text{nwd}_X$. Additionally, if X is a Baire space, then $X \notin \mathcal{M}_X$ and $\text{cov}(\text{nwd}_X) \geq \omega_1$.

For topological spaces X and Y let γ be a family of all subsets of $X \times Y$ such that for each $G \in \gamma$ all vertical sections G_x are open in Y and all horizontal sections G^y are open in X . The family γ is a topology on $X \times Y$. It is usually called the cross topology. A function $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is *separately continuous* whenever all maps (which make one of the two variables constant) $y \rightarrow f(z, y)$ and $x \rightarrow f(x, z)$ are continuous. In fact, the cross topology γ is the weak topology on $X \times Y$ generated by the family of all separately continuous functions into any topological space. The product topology on $X \times Y$ is denoted by τ . The topology τ is generated by the family of rectangles $\{U \times V : U \subseteq X \text{ is open and } V \subseteq Y \text{ is open}\}$. The topology of separate continuity on $X \times Y$ is denoted by σ . The topology σ is the weak topology on $X \times Y$ generated by the family of all separately continuous real-valued functions. The topology γ is finer than the product topology τ and the topology of separate continuity σ . Topologies τ , σ and γ have been compared a few time in the literature. The first systematic study was done by C. J. Knight, W. Moran and J. S. Pym [8] and [9], see also J. E. Hart and K. Kunen [6] or M. Henriksen and R. G. Woods [7] for some comments and exhaustive references.

We consider some modifications of the cross topology γ . It is introduced the family of \mathcal{C} -cross topologies on $X \times Y$. Under some additional assumptions any

\mathcal{C} -cross topology fulfills the Kuratowski-Ulam Theorem, see Theorem 2. A proof that each open and dense set with respect to τ is open and dense with respect to \mathcal{C} -cross topology needs some other assumptions about \mathcal{C} , see Corollary 3. Special cases when the product topology of two Baire space is a Baire space are generalized by Theorem 4. In [7] was stated conditions under which each nowhere dense set in τ is nowhere dense in γ . Theorem 6 and Corollary 7 establish conditions with \mathcal{C} -cross topologies on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ for similar results. Cardinal invariants for various \mathcal{C} -cross topologies are calculated in theorems 8, 9 and 10. In Lemma 12, Corollary 13 and Theorem 14 are improved some results concerning cofinality of nowhere dense or meager sets in separable metric spaces, compare [1].

2 \mathcal{C} -cross topologies

Let X and Y be topological spaces. Consider a family \mathcal{C} of subsets of $X \times Y$ which is closed under finite intersections and such that for each $G \in \mathcal{C}$ all vertical sections G_x have non-empty interior in Y , and all horizontal sections G^y have non-empty interior in X . The topology generated by \mathcal{C} is called \mathcal{C} -cross topology. Obviously, \mathcal{C} is a base for the \mathcal{C} -cross topology and topologies τ , σ and γ are \mathcal{C} -cross topologies.

Let \mathbb{S} be the Sorgenfrey line. By \mathbb{S}^n and \mathbb{R}^n denote products of n -copies of \mathbb{S} and the reals \mathbb{R} with product topologies, respectively. One can check that $nwd_{\mathbb{S}^n} = nwd_{\mathbb{R}^n}$. Indeed, the family of all products of n -intervals with rational endpoints is a π -base for \mathbb{S}^n and \mathbb{R}^n . So, both spaces have the same nowhere dense subsets. Recall that, see A. Todd [22] or compare [7], topologies σ and τ on a set X are Π -related if τ contains a π -network for the topology σ on X and σ contains a π -network for the topology τ on X . Note that, different \mathcal{C} -cross topologies on $X \times Y$ have not to be Π -related, see [7, Theorem 3.6] for suitable counter-examples. The next lemma is a small modification of Proposition 3.2 in [7].

1 Lemma. *If topologies σ and τ on a set X are Π -related, then σ -dense subspaces and τ -dense subspaces are the same. Also, σ -nowhere dense subsets of X and τ -nowhere dense subsets of X are the same. \square*

Lemma 1 generalizes the fact that spaces \mathbb{S}^n and \mathbb{R}^n have the same dense subspaces, and the same nowhere dense sets. In [7], it is applied to the topology of separate continuity. The plane $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ has the same dense subspaces and the same nowhere dense sets for the product topology and for the topology of separate continuity, respectively. This is not true for the cross topology, see [7, Theorem 3.6.a].

The proof of the following theorem requires that \mathcal{C} contains a π -network for the product topology τ and it needs the inequality $\pi(Y) < add(\mathcal{M}_X)$.

2 Theorem. *Let $X \times Y$ be equipped with a \mathcal{C} -cross topology such that the family \mathcal{C} contains a π -network for the product topology τ and let $\pi(Y) < \text{add}(\mathcal{M}_X)$. If a set $E \subseteq X \times Y$ is open and dense with respect to the \mathcal{C} -cross topology, then there exists a meager subset $P \subseteq X$ such that any section $E_x = \{y \in Y : (x, y) \in E\}$ is dense in Y , for each $x \in X \setminus P$.*

PROOF. Let \mathcal{U} be a π -base for Y of the cardinality $\pi(Y)$. For each $V \in \mathcal{U}$ and any non-empty and open $W \subseteq X$ the rectangle $W \times V$ has non-empty interior with respect to the \mathcal{C} -cross topology, since the family \mathcal{C} contains a π -network for the product topology. The intersection $E \cap (W \times V)$ contains a non-empty \mathcal{C} -cross open subset. By the definition of a \mathcal{C} -cross open set there exists $q \in V$ such that $\emptyset \neq \text{int}_X(E \cap (W \times V))^q \subseteq W$. For abbreviation let $A^V = \{x \in X : (\{x\} \times V) \cap E \neq \emptyset\}$. Since W is an arbitrary open subset of X and $\text{int}_X(E \cap (W \times V))^q \subseteq A^V$, then one concludes that each set $A^V \subseteq X$ contains an open dense subset. Put $P = X \setminus \bigcap \{A^V : V \in \mathcal{U}\}$. The set $P \subseteq X$ is meager, since $\pi(Y) < \text{add}(\mathcal{M}_X)$. For each point $x \in \bigcap \{A^V : V \in \mathcal{U}\}$ the set $\text{int}_Y E_x \subseteq Y$ has to be dense. \square

Theorem 2 is a modification of the Kuratowski-Ulam Theorem, compare [15], [14], [17] or [4]. One can call it the Kuratowski-Ulam Theorem, too. The next corollary follows from the Kuratowski-Ulam Theorem which is applied to the product topology τ . Its proof needs that \mathcal{C} contains a π -base instead of a π -network.

3 Corollary. *Let $X \times Y$ be equipped with a \mathcal{C} -cross topology such that the family \mathcal{C} contains a π -base for the product topology τ and let $\pi(Y) < \text{add}(\mathcal{M}_X)$ and assume that any non-empty and open subset of X is not meager. If a set $E \subseteq X \times Y$ is open and dense with respect to the product topology, then E contains a subset G which is dense and open with respect to any \mathcal{C} -cross topology.*

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be a π -base for the product topology τ . The union

$$G = \bigcup \{V \in \mathcal{U} : V \subseteq E\}$$

is a \mathcal{C} -cross open set and open dense with respect to the product topology. Apply the Kuratowski-Ulam Theorem for the product topology τ . There exists a meager subset $P \subseteq X$ such that the section $G_x \subseteq Y$ is open and dense, for any $x \in X \setminus P$. Suppose that a non-empty \mathcal{C} -cross open set V is disjoint with G . For any $(p, q) \in V$ we get $\text{int}_X V^q \neq \emptyset$. So, for each $x \in \text{int}_X V^q$ the set $G_x \subseteq Y$ is not dense. But the non-meager set $\text{int}_X V^q$ can not be contained in the meager set P , a contradiction. \square

Recall that, a space is called *quasiregular* if each non-empty open set contains the closure of some non-empty open set. Let a space Y be quasiregular and $\tau \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and μ be the \mathcal{C} -cross topology. Suppose that for any set $G \in \mu$ all

vertical sections $G_x \subseteq Y$ are open. Under such assumptions Corollary 3 would be deduced from the proof of Lemma 3.4 (a) in [7]. One should adopt the proof, since the lemma concerns Tychonoff spaces and takes the topology γ instead of μ . In fact, $\emptyset \neq V \in \mu$ implies $\text{int}_\tau \text{cl}_\mu V \neq \emptyset$. But μ is finer than τ , hence $V \cap E = \emptyset$ imply $\text{cl}_\mu V \cap E = \emptyset$, for each set $E \in \tau$. If additionally E is dense with respect to τ , then it should be $V = \emptyset$. So, E has to be dense with respect to μ , too.

3 \mathcal{C} -meager sets

Let us examine the Baire category theorem with respect to \mathcal{C} -cross topologies. The next theorem is related to results which were obtained by A. Kucia [12] or D. Gauld, S. Greenwood and Z. Piotrowski [5]. Our proof is a small improvement of Theorem 2.

4 Theorem. *Let $X \times Y$ be equipped with a \mathcal{C} -cross topology such that the family \mathcal{C} contains a π -network for the product topology τ and let $\pi(Y) < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_X)$. If a family $\{E_\alpha \subseteq X \times Y : \alpha < \lambda\}$ consists of sets which are open and dense with respect to the \mathcal{C} -cross topology, then the intersection $\bigcap \{E_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$ is non-empty for any cardinal number $\lambda < \min\{\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_X), \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_Y)\}$.*

PROOF. Assume that \mathcal{U} is a π -base for Y of the cardinality $\pi(Y)$. If $V \in \mathcal{U}$, then for every non-empty and open $W \subseteq X$ the rectangle $W \times V$ has non-empty interior with respect to the \mathcal{C} -cross topology. Similarly like in the proof of Theorem 2 one concludes that each set

$$A_\alpha^V = \{x \in X : (\{x\} \times V) \cap E_\alpha \neq \emptyset\}$$

contains a dense open subset of X . There exists a point

$$x \in \bigcap \{A_\alpha^V : V \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } \alpha < \lambda\},$$

since $\pi(Y) < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_X)$ and $\lambda < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_X)$. Any vertical section $(E_\alpha)_x \subseteq Y$ contains a dense open subset of Y . There exists $y \in \bigcap \{(E_\alpha)_x : \alpha < \lambda\}$, since $\lambda < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_Y)$. So, $(x, y) \in \bigcap \{E_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$. \square

Cases when the product topology of two Baire space is a Baire space are generalized onto \mathcal{C} -cross topologies by Theorem 4. This theorem does not work whenever $\pi(Y) \geq \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_X)$. Let D^λ be a Cantor cube. Obviously, $\pi(D^\lambda) = \lambda$. In [11] it was explicitly observed, compare also D. Fremlin, T. Natkaniec and I. Reclaw [4], that:

If a set $E \subseteq X \times D^\lambda$ is open and dense with respect to the product topology, then there exists a meager subset $P \subseteq X$ such that any vertical section $E_x = \{y \in D^\lambda : (x, y) \in E\}$ is dense in D^λ , for each $x \in X \setminus P$.

This implies that the product topology on $X \times D^\lambda$ satisfies the Baire category theorem, whenever X is a Baire space. Our results suggest a list of questions. For example:

5 Problem. Does the Baire category theorem hold for the cross topology on $X \times D^\lambda$, whenever $\lambda \geq \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_X) \geq \omega_1$?

4 The plane equipped with a \mathcal{C} -cross topology

From now on we consider the plane $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with various \mathcal{C} -cross topologies. The cross topology on the plane is not quasiregular. Indeed, every graph of an one-to-one function is closed and nowhere dense with respect to the cross topology, see [6, Proposition 1.2]. There are many one-to-one functions with τ -dense graphs. Any complement of a such graph witnesses that the cross topology is not quasiregular, since $\emptyset \neq V \in \gamma$ implies $\text{int}_\tau \text{cl}_\gamma V \neq \emptyset$ by Lemma 3.4 in [7]. From this lemma it follows that topologies τ and σ are Π -related. Therefore $nwd_\tau = nwd_\sigma$ and $\mathcal{M}_\tau = \mathcal{M}_\sigma$. However, these equalities do not hold for τ and γ . There hold $nwd_\tau \subset nwd_\gamma$ and $\mathcal{M}_\tau \subset \mathcal{M}_\gamma$. Indeed, suppose that $F = \text{cl}_\tau F \in nwd_\tau$. Then $\text{cl}_\gamma F = F$, since γ is finer than τ . Hence $\text{int}_\tau F = \emptyset$. Consequently $F \in nwd_\gamma$, since $\text{int}_\tau \text{cl}_\gamma F = \emptyset$. Analogically, one verifies that $\mathcal{M}_\tau \subset \mathcal{M}_\gamma$. Because any graph of an one-to-one function belongs to nwd_γ , it should be $nwd_\tau \neq nwd_\gamma$ and $\mathcal{M}_\tau \neq \mathcal{M}_\gamma$.

6 Theorem. *If $F \in nwd_\tau$, then F is nowhere dense with respect to a \mathcal{C} -cross topology μ , whenever the family \mathcal{C} contains a π -base for the product topology τ .*

PROOF. Let $F \in nwd_\tau$ and let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be a π -base for τ . The union $W = \bigcup \{V \in \mathcal{U} : V \cap F = \emptyset\}$ is τ -open and τ -dense. It is also μ -open, since it is the union of μ -open sets which belong to \mathcal{U} . Suppose that $(p, q) \in H = \text{int}_\mu(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \setminus W)$. Then for any $x \in \text{int}_\mathbb{R} H^q$ the section W_x is not dense in \mathbb{R} . We have a contradiction with the Kuratowski-Ulam theorem which one applies with W and τ . \square

7 Corollary. *If $F \in \mathcal{M}_\tau$, then F is meager with respect to a \mathcal{C} -cross topology, whenever the family \mathcal{C} contains a π -base for the product topology τ .* \square

The next theorem is formulated for the cross topology γ . However, it holds for any \mathcal{C} -cross topology which satisfies Theorem 2 and such that graphs of one-to-one functions are nowhere dense. For Hausdorff spaces X and Y graphs of one-to-one functions are nowhere dense with respect to the cross topology, see [6, Proposition 1.2.4].

8 Theorem. $\text{cof}(nwd_\gamma) > 2^\omega$ and $\text{cof}(\mathcal{M}_\gamma) > 2^\omega$.

PROOF. Consider a transfinite family $\{F_\alpha : \alpha < 2^\omega\} \subseteq \text{nwd}_\gamma$. Choose $(p_0, q_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \setminus F_0$. Assume that points

$$\{(p_\beta, q_\beta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \setminus F_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$$

which have been already chosen constitute the graph of an one-to-one function. By Theorem 2, there exists a meager subset $P_\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that the vertical section $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \setminus F_\alpha)_x \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is open and dense for each $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus P_\alpha$. Choose a point $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (P_\alpha \cup \{p_\beta : \beta < \alpha\})$ and a point $q \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \setminus F_\alpha)_p \setminus \{q_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$. Put $p = p_\alpha$ and $q = q_\alpha$. The set $\{(p_\alpha, q_\alpha) : \alpha < 2^\omega\} \subset \text{nwd}_\gamma$ is contained in no F_α . Hence $\text{cof}(\text{nwd}_\gamma) > 2^\omega$. The proof that $\text{cof}(\mathcal{M}_\gamma) > 2^\omega$ is analogical. \square

9 Theorem. *If μ is a \mathcal{C} -cross topology such that the family \mathcal{C} contains a π -base for the product topology τ , then $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_\mu) = \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_\mathbb{R})$.*

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \text{nwd}_\mathbb{R}$ be a family of closed subsets which witnesses $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_\mathbb{R}) = \text{cov}(\text{nwd}_\mathbb{R})$. Put $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathbb{R} \times V : V \in \mathcal{F}\}$. By the definitions $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \text{nwd}_\tau$. By Theorem 6, one infers $\mathcal{H} \subset \text{nwd}_\mu$. Since $\bigcup \mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, then $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_\mu) \leq \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_\mathbb{R})$.

Assume that $\{F_\alpha : \alpha < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_\mu)\} \subset \text{nwd}_\mu$ is a family of μ -closed set which witnesses $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_\mu)$. By Theorem 2, there is a meager set $P_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that for any section $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \setminus F_\alpha)_x \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a dense and open for each index α and any point $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus P_\alpha$. Suppose that $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_\mu) < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_\mathbb{R})$. Hence, there exist a point $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup \{P_\alpha : \alpha < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_\mu)\}$ and a point $y \in \bigcap \{(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \setminus F_\alpha)_x : \alpha < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_\mu)\}$. So, $(x, y) \notin \bigcup \{F_\alpha : \alpha < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_\mu)\}$, a contradiction. \square

10 Theorem. *Let μ be a \mathcal{C} -cross topology such that the family \mathcal{C} contains a π -base for the product topology τ . If $X \notin \mathcal{M}_\mathbb{R}$, then the square $X \times X$ is not meager with respect to μ . Moreover, $\text{non}(\mathcal{M}_\mu) = \text{non}(\mathcal{M}_\mathbb{R})$.*

PROOF. Take $X \notin \mathcal{M}_\mathbb{R}$. Suppose that, the square $X \times X$ is meager with respect to μ . This means $F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \dots = X \times X$, where any set $F_n \in \text{nwd}_\mu$. Apply Theorem 2 with $X \times X$. There exist meager subsets $P_n \subset X$ such that any section $(X \times X \setminus F_n)_x \subseteq X$ is open and dense, for each $x \in X \setminus P_n$. Hence, for any point $x \in X \setminus (P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \dots)$ the intersection $\bigcap \{(X \times X \setminus F_n)_x : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is not meager, a contradiction. So, if a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ witnesses $\text{non}(\mathcal{M}_\mathbb{R})$, then $X \times X$ witnesses $\text{non}(\mathcal{M}_\mu)$. This follows $\text{non}(\mathcal{M}_\mu) \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{M}_\mathbb{R})$.

From Theorem 6 one infers that any not μ -meager set is not τ -meager, too. Hence, $\text{non}(\mathcal{M}_\mu) \geq \text{non}(\mathcal{M}_\mathbb{R})$. \square

11 Problem. Is $\text{add}(\mathcal{M}_\gamma) \neq \text{add}(\mathcal{M}_\mathbb{R})$ consistent with ZFC?

5 Miscellanea of cofinality

If a Hausdorff space X is dense in itself, then $\text{cof}(nwd_X) \geq \omega_1$. Indeed, let U_0, U_1, \dots be an infinite sequence of pairwise disjoint, non-empty and open subsets of X . Assume that F_0, F_1, \dots is a sequence of nowhere dense subsets. For each n choose a point $x_n \in U_n \setminus F_n$. A family of all points x_n is a nowhere dense subset of X and no F_n contains this family. So, no sequence of nowhere dense subsets witnesses $\text{cof}(nwd_X)$. But for some T_1 -spaces it can be $\text{cof}(nwd_X) = \omega_0$. For example, whenever X is countable and all its co-finite subsets are open, only.

Let Y be a separable dense in itself metric space. There holds $\text{add}(nwd_Y) = \omega_0 = \text{non}(nwd_Y)$, since Y contains a copy of the rationals as a dense subset. If $Y \notin \mathcal{M}_Y$, then $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_Y) = \text{cov}(nwd_Y) \geq \omega_1$. Many differences occur for spaces constructed under additional set-theoretical axioms, compare [2]. However, the equality $\text{cof}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}) = \text{cof}(nwd_{\mathbb{R}})$ was already proved by D. Fremlin [3], and a simpler proof was given by B. Balcar, F. Hernández-Hernández and M. Hrušák [1]. From [1], see Theorem 1.6 and Fact 1.5, it follows that $\text{cof}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}) = \text{cof}(nwd_Y)$. Little is known about relations between $\text{cof}(\mathcal{M}_X)$ and $\text{cof}(nwd_X)$, whenever X is an arbitrary topological space. For metric spaces we extract topological properties used in [1], see the proof of Fact 1.5, to get the following.

12 Lemma. *Let X be a dense in itself metric space. If Q is a dense subset of X , then for each $F \in nwd_X$ there exists $G \in nwd_Q$ such that $F \subseteq \text{cl}_X G$.*

PROOF. Let B_n be the union of all balls with the radius $\frac{1}{n}$ and with centers in $F \in nwd_X$. Choose maximal, with respect to the inclusion, sets $A_n \subset Q \cap (B_n \setminus B_{n+1})$ such that distances between points of A_n are greater than $\frac{1}{n}$. Put $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots = G$. \square

13 Corollary. *Let X be a dense in itself metric space. If Q is a dense subset of X , then $\text{cof}(nwd_Q) = \text{cof}(nwd_X)$.*

PROOF. If $Q \subseteq X$, then $\text{cof}(nwd_Q) \leq \text{cof}(nwd_X)$, since this inequality holds for any dense in itself topological space X . Lemma 12 follows the inverse inequality. \square

Consider λ^ω with the product topology, where a cardinal number λ is equipped with the discrete topology. By the theorem of P. Štěpánek and P. Vopěnka [21], compare a general version of this theorem [13], one obtains $\omega_1 = \text{add}(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda^\omega}) = \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda^\omega}) = \text{cov}(nwd_{\lambda^\omega})$. Any metrizable space has a σ -discrete base. Each selector defined on elements of a such base witnesses $\text{add}(nwd_{\lambda^\omega}) = \omega_0$, since and λ^ω is a metrizable space. Indeed, any such selector is a countable union of discrete subsets and each discrete subset of a dense in itself T_1 -space is nowhere dense. Every non-empty open subset of λ^ω contains a family of the cardinality λ which consists of non-empty, pairwise disjoint and open subsets. Hence

$\text{cof}(nwd_{\lambda^\omega}) > \lambda$. Each subset of λ^ω of the cardinality less than λ has to be nowhere dense, therefore $\text{non}(nwd_{\lambda^\omega}) = \lambda$.

14 Theorem. *If X is a metric space such that any non-empty open subset of X has density λ , then $\text{cof}(nwd_{\lambda^\omega}) = \text{cof}(nwd_X)$.*

PROOF. Let $Y(\lambda)$ be the universal σ -discrete metric space in the class of all σ -discrete metric spaces of the cardinality less or equal to λ . One can define $Y(\lambda)$ similar as the space $Y(S)$ in [18, p. 41] or as $Q(\tau)$ in [20, p. 217]. One can check that X and λ^ω contain dense homeomorphic copies of $Y(\lambda)$, compare the proof of Theorem 1 in [18] or Corollary 7.7 in [20] or Theorem 1 in [16]. We are done by Corollary 13. \square

If $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is the set of rational numbers, then the square $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ is γ -dense. Therefore one infers that $\text{non}(nwd_\gamma) = \text{add}(nwd_\gamma) = \omega_0$. Lemma 12 or Corollary 13 hold for the topology σ , since $nwd_\sigma = nwd_\tau$. It is impossible to use γ instead of τ in these facts. Indeed, $\text{cof}(nwd_\gamma) > 2^\omega$ implies $\text{cof}(nwd_{\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}}) < \text{cof}(nwd_\gamma)$, whenever $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ inherits its topology from the topology γ .

References

- [1] B. BALCAR, F. HERNÁNDEZ-HERNÁNDEZ, M. HRUŠÁK: *Combinatorics of dense subsets of the rationals*, Fund. Math., **183** (2004), 59–80.
- [2] A. BLASS: *Combinatorial cardinal characteristics of the continuum*, in: Handbook of Set Theory, to appear.
- [3] D. FREMLIN: *The partially ordered sets of the measure and Tukey's ordering*, Note Mat., **11** (1991), 177–214.
- [4] D. FREMLIN, T. NATKANIEC, I. RECLAW: *Universally Kuratowski-Ulam spaces*, Fund. Math., **165** (2000), n. 3, 239–247.
- [5] D. GAULD, S. GREENWOOD, Z. PIOTROWSKI: *Baire product theorem for separately open sets and separate continuity*, Proceedings of the 15th Summer Conference on General Topology and its Applications/1st Turkish International Conference on Topology and its Applications (Oxford, OH/Istanbul, 2000). Topology Proc., **25** (2000), Summer, 129–144 (2002).
- [6] J. E. HART, K. KUNEN: *On the regularity of separate continuity*, Topology Appl., **123** (2002), 103–123.
- [7] M. HENRIKSEN, R. G. WOODS: *Separate versus joint continuity: a tale of four topologies*, Topology Appl., **97** (1999), 175–205.
- [8] C. J. KIGHT, W. MORAN, J. S. PYM: *The topologies of separate continuity. I*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **68** (1970), 663–671.
- [9] C. J. KIGHT, W. MORAN, J. S. PYM: *The topologies of separate continuity. II*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **71** (1972), 307–319.
- [10] J. KRASZEWSKI: *Properties of ideals on the generalized Cantor spaces*, J. Symbolic Logic, **66** (2001), 1303–1320.

- [11] A. KUCHARSKI, SZ. PLEWIK: *Game approach to universally Kuratowski-Ulam spaces*, Topology Appl., **154**, n. 2 (2007), 421–427.
- [12] A. KUCIA: *Topologies in product which preserve Baire spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., **31** (1990), 391–393.
- [13] W. KULPA, A. SZYMAŃSKI: *Decomposition into nowhere dense sets*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., **25** (1977), 37–39.
- [14] K. KURATOWSKI: *Topology I*, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw 1966.
- [15] K. KURATOWSKI, S. ULAM: *Quelques propriétés topologiques du produit combinatoire*, Fund. Math., **19** (1932), 247–251.
- [16] S. V. MEDVEDEV: *Zero-dimensional homogeneous Borel sets*, Sov. Math. Dokl., **32** (1985), 144–147.
- [17] J. OXTOBY: *Measure and Category*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 2. Springer-Verlag, New York 1971.
- [18] SZ. PLEWIK: *On subspaces of the Pixley-Roy example*, Colloq. Math., **XLIV** (1981), 41–46.
- [19] Z. PIOTROWSKI, A. SZYMAŃSKI: *Some remarks on category in topological spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **101** (1987), 156–160.
- [20] T. C. PRZYMUSIŃSKI: *Normality and paracompactness of Pixley-Roy hyperspaces*, Fund. Math., **CXIII** (1981), 201–219.
- [21] P. ŠTĚPÁNEK, P. VOPĚNKA: *Decomposition of metric spaces into nowhere dense sets*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., **8** (1967), 387–404, 567–568.
- [22] A. TODD: *Quasiregular, pseudocomplete, and Baire spaces*, Pacific. J. Math., **95** (1981), 233–250.