

# P-adic Measures and P-adic Spaces of Continuous Functions

**A. K. Katsaras**

*Department of Mathematics, University of Ioannina*  
45110 Ioannina, Greece  
akatsar@uoi.gr

Received: 8.5.2007; accepted: 2.10.2008.

**Abstract.** For  $X$  a Hausdorff zero-dimensional topological space and  $E$  a Hausdorff non-Archimedean locally convex space, let  $C(X, E)$  (resp.  $C_b(X, E)$ ) be the space of all continuous (resp. bounded continuous)  $E$ -valued functions on  $X$ . Some of the properties of the spaces  $C(X, E)$ ,  $C_b(X, E)$ , equipped with certain locally convex topologies, are studied. Also, some complete spaces of measures, on the algebra of all clopen subsets of  $X$ , are investigated.

**Keywords:** Non-Archimedean fields, zero-dimensional spaces, Banaschewski compactification, locally convex spaces

**MSC 2000 classification:** 46S10, 46G10

## Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a complete non-Archimedean valued field and let  $C(X, E)$  be the space of all continuous functions from a zero-dimensional Hausdorff topological space  $X$  to a non-Archimedean Hausdorff locally convex space  $E$ . We will denote by  $C_b(X, E)$  (resp. by  $C_{rc}(X, E)$ ) the space of all  $f \in C(X, E)$  for which  $f(X)$  is a bounded (resp. relatively compact) subset of  $E$ . The dual space of  $C_{rc}(X, E)$ , under the topology  $t_u$  of uniform convergence, is a space  $M(X, E')$  of finitely-additive  $E'$ -valued measures on the algebra  $K(X)$  of all clopen, i.e. both closed and open, subsets of  $X$ . Some subspaces of  $M(X, E')$  turn out to be the duals of  $C(X, E)$  or of  $C_b(X, E)$  under certain locally convex topologies.

In section 2 of this paper, we study some of the properties of the so called  $\mathbb{Q}$ -integrals, a concept given by the author in [14]. In section 3, we identify the dual of  $C_b(X, E)$  under the strict topology  $\beta_1$ . In section 4, we prove that the dual space of  $C(X, E)$ , under the topology of uniform convergence on the bounding subsets of  $X$ , is the space of all  $m \in M(X, E')$  which have a bounding support. In section 5 it is shown that the space  $M_s(X)$  of all separable members of  $M(X)$ , under the topology of uniform convergence on the uniformly bounded equicontinuous subsets of  $C_b(X)$ , is complete. The same is proved in section 6 for the space  $M_{sv_o}(X)$  of those separable  $m$  for which the support of the extension  $m^{\beta_o}$ , to all of the Banaschewski compactification  $\beta_o X$  of  $X$ , is contained in the  $\mathbf{N}$ -repletion  $v_o X$  of  $X$ , if we equip  $M_{sv_o}(X)$  with the topology of uniform convergence on the pointwise bounded equicontinuous subsets of  $C(X)$ .

## 1 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper,  $\mathbb{K}$  will be a complete non-Archimedean valued field, whose valuation is non-trivial. By a seminorm, on a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$ , we will mean a non-Archimedean seminorm. Similarly, by a locally convex space we will mean a non-Archimedean locally convex space over  $\mathbb{K}$  (see [25]). Unless it is stated explicitly otherwise,  $X$  will be a Hausdorff zero-dimensional topological space,  $E$  a Hausdorff locally convex space and  $cs(E)$  the set of all continuous seminorms on  $E$ . The space of all  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued linear maps on  $E$  is denoted by  $E^*$ , while  $E'$  denotes the topological dual of  $E$ . A seminorm  $p$ , on a vector space  $G$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ , is called polar if  $p = \sup\{|f| : f \in G^*, |f| \leq p\}$ . A locally convex space  $G$  is called polar if its topology is generated by a family of polar seminorms. A subset  $A$  of  $G$  is called absolutely convex if  $\lambda x + \mu y \in A$  whenever  $x, y \in A$  and  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{K}$ , with  $|\lambda|, |\mu| \leq 1$ . We will denote by  $\beta_o X$  the Banaschewski compactification of  $X$  (see [5]) and by  $v_o X$  the  $\mathbb{N}$ -repletion of  $X$ , where  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of natural numbers. We will let  $C(X, E)$  denote the space of all continuous  $E$ -valued functions on  $X$  and  $C_b(X, E)$  (resp.  $C_{rc}(X, E)$ ) the space of all  $f \in C(X, E)$  for which  $f(X)$  is a bounded (resp. relatively compact) subset of  $E$ . In case  $E = \mathbb{K}$ , we will simply write  $C(X)$ ,  $C_b(X)$  and  $C_{rc}(X)$  respectively. For  $A \subset X$ , we denote by  $\chi_A$  the  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued characteristic function of  $A$ . Also, for  $X \subset Y \subset \beta_o X$ , we denote by  $\bar{B}^Y$  the closure of  $B$  in  $Y$ . If  $f \in E^X$ ,  $p$  a seminorm on  $E$  and  $A \subset X$ , we define

$$\|f\|_p = \sup_{x \in X} p(f(x)), \quad \|f\|_{A,p} = \sup_{x \in A} p(f(x)).$$

The strict topology  $\beta_o$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  (see [9]) is the locally convex topology generated by the seminorms  $f \mapsto \|hf\|_p$ , where  $p \in cs(E)$  and  $h$  is in the space  $B_o(X)$  of all bounded  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued functions on  $X$  which vanish at infinity, i.e. for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a compact subset  $Y$  of  $X$  such that  $|h(x)| < \epsilon$  if  $x \notin Y$ .

Let  $\Omega = \Omega(X)$  be the family of all compact subsets of  $\beta_o X \setminus X$ . For  $H \in \Omega$ , let  $C_H$  be the space of all  $h \in C_{rc}(X)$  for which the continuous extension  $h^{\beta_o}$  to all of  $\beta_o X$  vanishes on  $H$ . For  $p \in cs(E)$ , let  $\beta_{H,p}$  be the locally convex topology on  $C_b(X, E)$  generated by the seminorms  $f \mapsto \|hf\|_p$ ,  $h \in C_H$ . For  $H \in \Omega$ ,  $\beta_H$  is the locally convex topology on  $C_b(X, E)$  generated by the seminorms  $f \mapsto \|hf\|_p$ ,  $h \in C_H, p \in cs(E)$ . The inductive limit of the topologies  $\beta_H, H \in \Omega$ , is the topology  $\beta$ . Replacing  $\Omega$  by the family  $\Omega_1$  of all  $\mathbb{K}$ -zero subsets of  $\beta_o X$ , which are disjoint from  $X$ , we get the topology  $\beta_1$ . Recall that a  $\mathbb{K}$ -zero subset of  $\beta_o X$  is a set of the form  $\{x \in \beta_o X : g(x) = 0\}$ , for some  $g \in C(\beta_o X)$ . We get the topologies  $\beta_u$  and  $\beta'_u$  replacing  $\Omega$  by the family  $\Omega_u$  of all  $Q \in \Omega$  with the following property: There exists a clopen partition  $(A_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $X$  such that  $Q$  is disjoint from each  $\overline{A_i}^{\beta_o X}$ . Now  $\beta_u$  is the inductive limit of the topologies  $\beta_Q, Q \in \Omega_u$ . The inductive limit of the topologies  $\beta_{H,p}$ , as  $H$  ranges over  $\Omega_u$ , is denoted by  $\beta_{u,p}$ , while  $\beta'_u$  is the projective limit of the topologies  $\beta_{u,p}$ ,  $p \in cs(E)$ . For the definition of the topology  $\beta_e$  on  $C_b(X)$  we refer to [12].

Let now  $K(X)$  be the algebra of all clopen subsets of  $X$ . We denote by  $M(X, E')$  the space of all finitely-additive  $E'$ -additive measures  $m$  on  $K(X)$  for which the set  $m(K(X))$  is an equicontinuous subset of  $E'$ . For each such  $m$ , there exists a  $p \in cs(E)$  such that  $\|m\|_p = m_p(X) < \infty$ , where, for  $A \in K(X)$ ,

$$m_p(A) = \sup\{|m(B)s|/p(s) : p(s) \neq 0, A \supset B \in K(X)\}.$$

The space of all  $m \in M(X, E')$  for which  $m_p(X) < \infty$  is denoted by  $M_p(X, E')$ . For  $m \in M_p(X, E')$  we define  $N_{m,p}$  on  $X$  by

$$N_{m,p}(x) = \inf\{m_p(V) : x \in V \in K(X)\}.$$

In case  $E = \mathbb{K}$ , we denote by  $M(X)$  the space of all finitely-additive bounded  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued measures on  $K(X)$ . An element  $m$  of  $M(X)$  is called  $\tau$ -additive if  $m(V_\delta) \rightarrow 0$  for each decreasing net  $(V_\delta)$  of clopen subsets of  $X$  with  $\bigcap V_\delta = \emptyset$ . In this case we write  $V_\delta \downarrow \emptyset$ . We denote by  $M_\tau(X)$  the space of all  $\tau$ -additive members of  $M(X)$ . Analogously, we denote by  $M_\sigma(X)$  the space of all  $\sigma$ -additive  $m$ , i.e. those  $m$  with  $m(V_n) \rightarrow 0$  when  $V_n \downarrow \emptyset$ . For an  $m \in M(X, E')$  and  $s \in E$ , we denote by  $ms$  the element of  $M(X)$  defined by  $(ms)(V) = m(V)s$ . A subset  $G$  of  $X$  is called a support set of an  $m \in M(X, E')$  if  $m(V) = 0$  for each  $V \in K(X)$  disjoint from  $G$ .

**Theorem 1** ([17], Theorem 2.1) *Let  $m \in M(X, E')$  be such that  $ms \in M_\tau(X)$ , for all  $s \in E$ , and let  $p \in cs(E)$  with  $\|m\|_p < \infty$ . Then :*

(1)  $m_p(V) = \sup_{x \in V} N_{m,p}(x)$  for every  $V \in K(X)$ .

(2) The set

$$supp(m) = \bigcap \{V \in K(X) : m_p(V^c) = 0\}$$

is the smallest of all closed support sets for  $m$ .

(3)  $supp(m) = \overline{\{x : N_{m,p}(x) \neq 0\}}$ .

(4) If  $V$  is a clopen set contained in the union of a family  $(V_i)_{i \in I}$  of clopen sets, then

$$m_p(V) \leq \sup\{m_p(V_i) : i \in I\}.$$

Next we recall the definition of the integral of an  $f \in E^X$  with respect to an  $m \in M(X, E')$ . For a non-empty clopen subset  $A$  of  $X$ , let  $\mathcal{D}_A$  be the family of all  $\alpha = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ , where  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  is a clopen partition of  $A$  and  $x_k \in A_k$ . We make  $\mathcal{D}_A$  into a directed set by defining  $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$  iff the partition of  $A$  in  $\alpha_1$  is a refinement of the one in  $\alpha_2$ . For an  $\alpha = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \in \mathcal{D}_A$  and  $m \in M(X, E')$ , we define

$$\omega_\alpha(f, m) = \sum_{k=1}^n m(A_k)f(x_k).$$

If the limit  $\lim \omega_\alpha(f, m)$  exists in  $\mathbb{K}$ , we will say that  $f$  is  $m$ -integrable over  $A$  and denote this limit by  $\int_A f dm$ . We define the integral over the empty set to be 0. For  $A = X$ , we write simply  $\int f dm$ . It is easy to see that if  $f$  is  $m$ -integrable over  $X$ , then it is  $m$ -integrable over every clopen subset  $A$  of  $X$  and  $\int_A f dm = \int \chi_A f dm$ . If  $\tau_u$  is the topology of uniform convergence, then every  $m \in M(X, E')$  defines a  $\tau_u$ -continuous linear functional  $\phi_m$  on  $C_{rc}(X, E)$ ,  $\phi_m(f) = \int f dm$ . Also every  $\phi \in (C_{rc}(X, E), \tau_u)'$  is given in this way by some  $m \in M(X, E')$ .

For  $p \in cs(E)$ , we denote by  $M_{t,p}(X, E')$  the space of all  $m \in M_p(X, E')$  for which  $m_p$  is tight, i.e. for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact subset  $Y$  of  $X$  such that  $m_p(A) < \epsilon$  if the clopen set  $A$  is disjoint from  $Y$ . Let

$$M_t(X, E') = \bigcup_{p \in cs(E)} M_{t,p}(X, E').$$

Every  $m \in M_{t,p}(X, E')$  defines a  $\beta_o$ -continuous linear functional  $u_m$  on  $C_b(X, E)$ ,  $u_m(f) = \int f dm$ . The map  $m \mapsto u_m$ , from  $M_t(X, E')$  to  $(C_b(X, E), \beta_o)'$ , is an algebraic isomorphism. For  $m \in M_\tau(X)$  and  $f \in \mathbb{K}^X$ , we will denote by  $(VR) \int f dm$  the integral of  $f$ , with respect to  $m$ , as it is defined in [25]. We will call  $(VR) \int f dm$  the  $(VR)$ -integral of  $f$ .

For all unexplained terms on locally convex spaces, we refer to [23] and [25].

## 2 Q-Integrals

We will recall next the definition of the Q-integral which was given in [14]. Let  $m \in M(X, E')$  be such that  $ms \in M_\tau(X)$  for all  $s \in E$ . This in particular happens if  $m \in M_\tau(X, E')$ . For  $f \in E^X$  and  $x \in X$ , we define

$$Q_{m,f}(x) = \inf_{x \in V \in K(X)} \sup\{|m(B)f(x)| : V \supset B \in K(X)\}, \quad \|f\|_{Q_m} = \sup_{x \in X} Q_{m,f}(x).$$

Let  $S(X, E)$  be the linear subspace of  $E^X$  spanned by the functions  $\chi_A s$ ,  $s \in E$ ,  $A \in K(X)$ , where  $\chi_A$  is the  $\mathbb{K}$ -characteristic function of  $A$ . We will write simply  $S(X)$  if  $E = \mathbb{K}$ .

**Lemma 1.** *If  $g \in S(X, E)$ , then*

$$\|g\|_{Q_m} = \sup_{x \in X} Q_{m,g}(x) < \infty.$$

*Proof:* The proof was given in [14], Lemma 7.2. Note that, if  $\|m\|_p < \infty$  and  $d \geq \|g\|_p$ , then  $Q_{m,g}(x) \leq d \cdot m_p(X)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *For  $g \in S(X, E)$ , we have*

$$\left| \int g \, dm \right| \leq \|g\|_{Q_m}.$$

*Proof:* Assume first that  $g = \chi_A s$ ,  $A \in K(X)$ . Then

$$|m(A)s| \leq |ms|(A) = \sup_{y \in A} N_{ms}(y).$$

But, for  $y \in A$ , we have

$$N_{ms}(y) = \inf_{y \in V \in K(X)} \sup_{V \supset B \in K(X)} |m(B)s| = \inf_{y \in V \in K(X)} \sup_{V \supset B \in K(X)} |m(B)g(y)| = Q_{m,g}(y).$$

Thus  $|m(A)s| \leq \sup_{y \in A} Q_{m,g}(y)$ . In the general case, there are pairwise disjoint clopen sets  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  covering  $X$  and  $s_k \in E$  with  $g = \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{A_k} s_k$ . Thus,

$$\left| \int g \, dm \right| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^n m(A_k) s_k \right| \leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |m(A_k) s_k| \leq \sup_{x \in X} Q_{m,g}(x) = \|g\|_{Q_m}.$$

**Definition 1.** Let  $m \in M(X, E')$  be such that  $ms \in M_\tau(X)$  for all  $s \in E$ . A function  $f \in E^X$  is said to be Q-integrable with respect to  $m$  if there exists a sequence  $(g_n)$  in  $S(X, E)$  such that  $\|f - g_n\|_{Q_m} \rightarrow 0$ . In this case, the Q-integral of  $f$  is defined by

$$(Q) \int f \, dm = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int g_n \, dm.$$

If  $f$  is Q-integrable with respect to  $m$ , then for  $A \in K(X)$  the function  $\chi_A f$  is also Q-integrable. We define

$$(Q) \int_A f \, dm = (Q) \int \chi_A f \, dm.$$

As it is proved in [14], the Q-integral is well defined. If  $\mu \in M_\tau(X)$  and  $g \in \mathbb{K}^X$ , then  $Q_{\mu,g}(x) = |g(x)|N_\mu(x)$ . Thus the Q-integral with respect to  $\mu$  coincides with the integral as it is defined in [23], which we will call (VR)-integral. Hence

$$(VR) \int g \, d\mu = (Q) \int g \, d\mu.$$

**Lemma 3.** *If  $f \in E^X$  is  $Q$ -integrable with respect to an  $m \in M(X, E')$  and if  $(g_n)$  is a sequence in  $S(X, E)$ , with  $\|f - g_n\|_{Q_m} \rightarrow 0$ , then*

$$\|f\|_{Q_m} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g_n\|_{Q_m} < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \left| (Q) \int f \, dm \right| \leq \|f\|_{Q_m}.$$

*Proof:* Since

$$Q_{m, h+g}(x) \leq \max\{Q_{m, g}(x), Q_{m, h}(x)\},$$

it follows that

$$\|h + g\|_{Q_m} \leq \max\{\|h\|_{Q_m}, \|g\|_{Q_m}\}.$$

Thus

$$\|f\|_{Q_m} \leq \max\{\|f - g_n\|_{Q_m}, \|g_n\|_{Q_m}\} \leq \|f - g_n\|_{Q_m} + \|g_n\|_{Q_m} < \infty.$$

It follows that

$$\|f\|_{Q_m} - \|g_n\|_{Q_m} \leq \|f - g_n\|_{Q_m} \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\left| (Q) \int f \, dm \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int g_n \, dm \right| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g_n\|_{Q_m} = \|f\|_{Q_m}.$$

Hence the result follows.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $m \in M(X, E')$  be such that  $m_s \in M_\tau(X)$  for all  $s \in E$ , and let  $f \in E^X$  be  $Q$ -integrable. Define*

$$m_f : K(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad m_f(A) = (Q) \int_A f \, dm.$$

*Then  $m_f \in M_\tau(X)$ .*

*Proof:* Since  $|m_f(A)| \leq \|f\|_{Q_m}$ , it is easy to see that  $m_f \in M(X)$ . Let now  $V_\delta \downarrow \emptyset$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose a  $g = \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{A_k} s_k \in S(X, E)$  such that  $\|f - g\|_{Q_m} < \epsilon$ . Then

$$\int_{V_\delta} g \, dm = \sum_{k=1}^n (m s_k)(V_\delta \cap A_k) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $\delta_o$  be such that  $\left| \int_{V_\delta} g \, dm \right| < \epsilon$  if  $\delta \geq \delta_o$ . Now, for  $\delta \geq \delta_o$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (Q) \int_{V_\delta} f \, dm \right| &\leq \max\left\{ \left| (Q) \int_{V_\delta} (f - g) \, dm \right|, \left| \int_{V_\delta} g \, dm \right| \right\} \\ &\leq \max\{\|f - g\|_{Q_m}, \left| \int_{V_\delta} g \, dm \right|\} < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $m_f(V_\delta) \rightarrow 0$ .

**Lemma 4.** *If  $f \in E^X$  is  $Q$ -integrable with respect to an  $m \in M(X, E')$ , then the map  $x \rightarrow Q_{m, f}(x)$  is upper semicontinuous.*

*Proof:* We need to show that, for each  $\alpha > 0$ , the set

$$V = \{x : Q_{m, f}(x) < \alpha\}$$

is open. So let  $x \in V$  and choose  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $Q_{m, f}(x) < \alpha - 2\epsilon$ . Let  $g \in S(X, E)$  be such that  $\|f - g\|_{Q_m} < \epsilon$ . Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be a clopen partition of  $X$  and  $s_k \in E$  such that  $g = \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{A_k} s_k$ . Let  $k$  be such that  $x \in A_k$ . There exists a clopen set  $B$ , containing  $x$  and

contained in  $A_k$ , such that  $|m(D)g(x)| < Q_{m,g}(x) + \epsilon$  for every clopen set  $D$  contained in  $B$ . If  $y \in B$ , then for  $B \supset D \in K(X)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |m(D)g(y)| &= |m(D)g(x)| < Q_{m,g}(x) + \epsilon \\ &\leq \max\{Q_{m,g-f}(x), Q_{m,f}(x)\} + \epsilon \\ &\leq Q_{m,f}(x) + 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $Q_{m,g}(y) \leq Q_{m,f}(x) + 2\epsilon < \alpha$ . Hence  $x \in B \subset V$  and the result follows.

**Lemma 5.** *If  $f \in E^X$  is  $Q$ -integrable with respect to an  $m \in M(X, E')$ , then  $N_{m_f} \leq Q_{m,f}$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $x \in X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . In view of the preceding Lemma, there exists a clopen neighborhood  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $Q_{m,f}(y) \leq Q_{m,f}(x) + \epsilon$  for all  $y \in V$ . If  $V \supset B \in K(X)$ , then

$$|m_f(B)| \leq \sup_{y \in B} Q_{m,f}(y) \leq Q_{m,f}(x) + \epsilon$$

and so

$$N_{m_f}(x) \leq |m_f|(V) \leq Q_{m,f}(x) + \epsilon.$$

Hence the result follows.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $m \in M(X, E')$  be such that  $ms \in M_\tau(X)$  for all  $s \in E$ . If  $g \in S(X, E)$ , then  $Q_{m,g} = N_{m_g}$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  be a clopen partition of  $X$  and  $s_k \in E$  such that  $g = \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{A_k} s_k$ . Suppose that  $N_{m_g}(x) < \alpha$ . Then, there exists a clopen neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $|m_g|(V) < \alpha$ . Let  $x \in A_k$ . If  $B$  is a clopen set contained in  $A_k \cap V$ , then

$$m_g(B) = (Q) \int_B g \, dm = \int_B g \, dm = m(B)g(x)$$

since  $g = g(x)$  on  $B$ . Thus

$$Q_{m,g}(x) \leq \sup_{B \subset A_k \cap V} |m(B)g(x)| \leq |m_g|(V) < \alpha.$$

This proves that  $Q_{m,g} \leq N_{m_g}$  and the result follows.

**Theorem 3.** *If  $f \in E^X$  is  $Q$ -integrable with respect to an  $m \in M(X, E')$ , then  $Q_{m,f} = N_{m_f}$ .*

*Proof:* Assume that  $N_{m_f}(x) < \alpha$  and let  $0 < \epsilon < \alpha$ . There exists a clopen neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $|m_f|(V) < \alpha$ . Let  $g \in S(X, E)$  be such that  $\|f - g\|_{Q_m} < \epsilon$ . For  $A$  clopen contained in  $V$ , we have

$$|m_f(A) - m_g(A)| = \left| (Q) \int (f - g) \, dm \right| \leq \|f - g\|_{Q_m} < \epsilon$$

and so

$$|m_g(A)| \leq \max\{\epsilon, |m_f(A)|\} < \alpha.$$

Thus

$$Q_{m,g}(x) = N_{m_g}(x) \leq |m_g|(V) \leq \alpha.$$

Now

$$Q_{m,f}(x) \leq \max\{Q_{m,f-g}(x), Q_{m,g}(x)\} \leq \alpha,$$

which proves that  $Q_{m,f} \leq N_{m_f}$  and the result follows by Lemma 5.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $m \in M(X, E')$  be such that  $ms \in M_\tau(X)$ , for all  $s \in E$ , and let  $f \in E^X$  be  $Q$ -integrable with respect to  $m$ . If  $g \in \mathbb{K}^X$  is  $Q$ -integrable with respect to  $m_f$ , then  $gf$  is  $Q$ -integrable with respect to  $m$  and*

$$(Q) \int gf \, dm = (Q) \int g \, dm_f.$$

*Proof:* If  $h \in \mathbb{K}^X$ , then

$$Q_{m, hf}(x) = |h(x)|Q_{m, f}(x) = |h(x)|N_{m_f}(x) = Q_{m_f, h}(x).$$

Let  $(g_n)$  be a sequence in  $S(X)$  such that  $\|g - g_n\|_{Q_{m_f}} \rightarrow 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g - g_n\|_{Q_{m_f}} &= \sup_{x \in X} |g(x) - g_n(x)| \cdot N_{m_f}(x) \\ &= \sup_{x \in X} Q_{m, (g-g_n)f}(x) = \|gf - g_n f\|_{Q_m}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $A \in K(X)$ , then  $\chi_A f$  is  $Q$ -integrable with respect to  $m$  and

$$(Q) \int \chi_A f \, dm = (Q) \int_A f \, dm = m_f(A) = \int \chi_A \, dm_f.$$

It follows that, for all  $n$ ,  $g_n f$  is  $Q$ -integrable with respect to  $m$  and

$$(Q) \int g_n f \, dm = \int g_n \, dm_f \rightarrow (Q) \int g \, dm_f.$$

Since  $g_n f$  is  $Q$ -integrable with respect to  $m$  and  $\|gf - g_n f\|_{Q_m} \rightarrow 0$ , it follows that  $gf$  is  $Q$ -integrable and

$$(Q) \int gf \, dm = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Q) \int g_n f \, dm = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int g_n \, dm_f = (Q) \int g \, dm_f,$$

which completes the proof.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $m \in M(X, E')$  be such that  $ms \in M_\tau(X)$ , for all  $s \in E$ , and let  $p \in cs(E)$  with  $\|m\|_p < \infty$ . If  $f \in E^X$  is  $Q$ -integrable with respect to  $m$ , then, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $|(Q) \int_A f \, dm| < \epsilon$  if  $m_p(A) < \alpha$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $g \in S(X, E)$  with  $\|f - g\|_{Q_m} < \epsilon$ . For a clopen set  $A$ , we have  $|\int_A g \, dm| \leq \|g\|_p \cdot m_p(A)$ . Let  $\alpha > 0$  be such that  $\alpha \cdot \|g\|_p < \epsilon$ . If  $m_p(A) < \alpha$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (Q) \int_A f \, dm \right| &\leq \max \left\{ \left| (Q) \int_A (f - g) \, dm \right|, \left| \int_A g \, dm \right| \right\} \\ &\leq \max \{ \|f - g\|_{Q_m}, \|g\|_p \cdot m_p(A) \} < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $m \in M_\tau(X)$  and let  $g \in \mathbb{K}^X$  be (VR)-integrable. Then, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|g\|_{A, N_m} \leq \epsilon$  if  $|m|(A) < \delta$ .*

*Proof:* There exists  $h \in S(X)$  such that  $\|g - h\|_{N_m} \leq \epsilon$ . It suffices to choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\delta \cdot \|h\| < \epsilon$ .

Let  $m \in M(X)$ . For  $A \subset X$ , we define

$$|m|^\wedge(A) = \inf \{ |m|(V) : V \in K(X), A \subset V \}.$$

Recall that a sequence  $(g_n)$  in  $\mathbb{K}^X$  converges in measure to an  $f \in \mathbb{K}^X$ , with respect to  $m$  (see [14], Definition 2.12) if, for each  $\alpha > 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |m|^\wedge \{ x : |g_n(x) - g(x)| \geq \alpha \} = 0.$$

**Theorem 6** (Dominated Convergence Theorem). *Let  $m \in M_\tau(X)$  and let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence of (VR)-integrable, with respect to  $m$ , functions, which converges in measure to some  $f \in \mathbb{K}^X$ . If there exists a (VR)-integrable function  $g \in \mathbb{K}^X$  such that  $|f_n| \leq |g|$  for all  $n$ , then  $f$  is (VR)-integrable and*

$$(VR) \int f dm = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (VR) \int f_n dm.$$

*Proof:* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose inductively  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  such that  $|m|^\wedge(V_k) < 1/k$ , where

$$V_k = \{x : |f_{n_k}(x) - f(x)| \geq 1/k\}.$$

Let  $V = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k \geq N} V_k$ . If  $x \in V$ , then  $N_m(x) = 0$ . Indeed, for every  $N$ , there exists  $k \geq N$  with  $x \in V_k$  and so  $N_m(x) \leq |m|(V_k) < 1/k \leq 1/N$ , which proves that  $N_m(x) = 0$ . Also, for  $x \in X \setminus V$ , we have  $f(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_k}(x)$ . In fact, there exists  $N$  such that  $x \notin V_k$  for  $k \geq N$  and so  $|f_{n_k}(x) - f(x)| < 1/k \rightarrow 0$ . It follows that  $|f(x)| \leq |g(x)|$  when  $x \notin V$ . Since  $g$  is (VR)-integrable, there exists (by the preceding Lemma)  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|g\|_{A, N_m} < \epsilon$  if  $|m|(A) < \delta$ . Let now  $\alpha > 0$  be such that  $\alpha \cdot \|m\| < \epsilon$ . For each  $n$ , let

$$G_n = \{x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \alpha\}$$

and choose a clopen set  $W_n$  containing  $G_n$  with  $|m|(W_n) < 1/n + |m|^\wedge(G_n)$ . Since  $|m|^\wedge(G_n) \rightarrow 0$ , there exists  $n_o$  such that  $|m|(W_n) < \delta$  if  $n \geq n_o$ . Let now  $n \geq n_o$  and  $x \in X$ . If  $x \in V$ , then  $N_m(x) = 0$ . Suppose that  $x \notin V$ . Then  $|f(x)| \leq |g(x)|$  and so

$$|f(x) - f_n(x)|N_m(x) \leq |g(x)|N_m(x).$$

If  $x \in W_n$ , then  $|g(x)|N_m(x) \leq \epsilon$ , since  $|m|(W_n) < \delta$ , while for  $x \notin W_n$  we have

$$|f(x) - f_n(x)|N_m(x) \leq \alpha \cdot \|m\| < \epsilon.$$

Thus, for  $n \geq n_o$ , we have  $\|f - f_n\|_{N_m} \leq \epsilon$ . Since  $f_n$  is (VR)-integrable, it follows that  $f$  is (VR)-integrable and

$$(VR) \int f dm = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (VR) \int f_n dm$$

since

$$\left| (VR) \int (f - f_n) dm \right| \leq \|f - f_n\|_{N_m} \rightarrow 0.$$

This completes the proof.

Let now  $\tau$  be the topology of  $X$  and let  $K_c(X)$  be the collection of all subsets  $A$  of  $X$  such that  $A \cap Y$  is clopen in  $Y$  for each compact subset  $Y$  of  $X$ . It is easy to see that if  $A, A_1, A_2$  are in  $K_c(X)$ , then each of the sets  $A^c, A_1 \cap A_2$  and  $A_1 \cup A_2$  is also in  $K_c(X)$ . Now  $K_c(X)$  is a base for a zero-dimensional topology  $\tau^k$  on  $X$  finer than  $\tau$ . We will denote by  $X^{(k)}$  the set  $X$  equipped with the topology  $\tau^k$ . We have the following easily established

**Theorem 7.** (1)  $\tau$  and  $\tau^k$  have the same compact sets.

(2)  $\tau$  and  $\tau^k$  induce the same topology on each  $\tau$ -compact subset of  $X$ .

(3) A subset  $B$  of  $X$  is  $\tau^k$ -clopen iff  $B \in K_c(X)$ .

(4) If  $Y$  is a zero-dimensional topological space and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , then  $f$  is  $\tau^k$ -continuous iff the restriction of  $f$  to every compact subset of  $X$  is  $\tau$ -continuous.

Let now  $m \in M(X, E')$  be such that  $ms \in M_\tau(X)$  for each  $s \in E$ .

**Lemma 8.** If  $B \in K_c(X)$ ,  $s \in E$  and  $h = \chi_{Bs}$ , then  $h$  is  $Q$ -integrable with respect to  $m$ .



*Proof:* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $ms \in M_\tau(X)$ , there exists a compact subset  $Y$  of  $X$  such that  $|ms|(V) < \epsilon$  for each clopen subset  $V$  of  $X$  disjoint from  $Y$ . Since  $B \cap Y$  is clopen in  $Y$  and  $Y$  is compact, there exists  $A \in K(X)$  with  $B \cap Y = A \cap Y$  (see [25], p. 188). Let  $g = \chi_{As}$ ,  $f = h - g$ . If  $x \in A \Delta B$ , then  $x$  is not in  $Y$  and so there exists  $V \in K(X)$  such that  $x \in V \subset Y^c$ . If  $W \in K(X)$  is contained in  $V$ , then  $|m(W)f(x)| = |m(W)s| \leq |ms|(V) < \epsilon$  and so  $Q_{m,f}(x) \leq \epsilon$ . Thus  $\|h - g\|_{Q_m} \leq \epsilon$ . Hence the Lemma follows.

Now for  $B \in K_c(X)$ , we define

$$m^{(k)}(B) : E \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad m^{(k)}(B)s = (Q) \int \chi_B s dm.$$

Clearly  $m^{(k)}$  is linear. Let  $p \in cs(E)$  be such that  $m_p(X) < \infty$ .

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $A \in K_c(X)$ , and let  $V \in K(X)$  with  $A \subset V$ . Then :*

- (1)  $|m^{(k)}(A)s| \leq |ms|(V) \leq m_p(V) \cdot p(s)$  for all  $s \in E$ .
- (2)  $m^{(k)} \in M_p(X^{(k)}, E')$ .
- (3)  $m^{(k)}s \in M_\tau(X^{(k)})$  for all  $s \in E$ .
- (4) If  $m \in M_{t,p}(X, E')$ , then  $m^{(k)} \in M_{t,p}(X^{(k)}, E')$ .

*Proof:* Let  $s \in E$ ,  $h = \chi_{As}$  and  $x \in A \subset V$ . If  $W$  is a clopen subset of  $X$  contained in  $V$ , then  $|m(W)h(x)| \leq |ms|(V)$  and so  $Q_{m,h}(x) \leq |ms|(V)$ , which implies that

$$|m^{(k)}(A)s| \leq \sup_{x \in A} Q_{m,h}(x) \leq |ms|(V) \leq m_p(V) \cdot p(s).$$

This proves that  $m^{(k)}(A) \in E'$  and  $\|m^{(k)}(A)\|_p \leq m_p(V)$ . Clearly  $m^{(k)} \in M_p(X^{(k)}, E')$  and  $\|m^{(k)}\|_p \leq \|m\|_p$ .

Let now  $s \in E$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . There exists a compact subset  $Y$  of  $X$  such that  $|ms|(Z) < \epsilon$  for each  $Z \in K(X)$  disjoint from  $Y$ . Let  $B \in K_c(X)$  be disjoint from  $Y$  and let  $x \in B$ . Then  $x \notin Y$  and so there exists a  $D \in K(X)$  containing  $x$  and contained in  $Y^c$ . For  $h = \chi_B s$ , we have  $Q_{m,h}(x) \leq |ms|(D) < \epsilon$ . Thus  $|m^{(k)}(A)s| \leq \epsilon$ . It follows that  $|m^{(k)}s|(B) \leq \epsilon$  for each  $B \in K_c(X)$  disjoint from  $Y$  and so  $m^{(k)}s \in M_\tau(X^{(k)})$ . Finally, assume that  $m \in M_{t,p}(X, E)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact subset  $Y$  of  $X$  such that  $m_p(V) < \epsilon$  for each  $V \in K(X)$  disjoint from  $Y$ . If  $s \in E$ , with  $p(s) > 0$ , then for  $V \in K(X)$  disjoint from  $Y$  we have  $|ms|(V) \leq m_p(V) \cdot p(s) < \epsilon \cdot p(s)$ . Thus, for  $B \in K_c(X)$  disjoint from  $Y$  we have  $|m^{(k)}s|(B) \leq \epsilon \cdot p(s)$  and so  $m_p^{(k)}(B) \leq \epsilon$ . This clearly completes the proof.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $m \in M(X, E')$  be such that  $ms \in M_\tau(X)$  for each  $s \in E$ . Then:*

- (1) If  $A \in K(X)$ , then  $|ms|(A) = |m^{(k)}s|(A)$  for all  $s \in E$ .
- (2) If  $m \in M_p(X, E')$ , then  $m_p(A) = m_p^{(k)}(A)$  for each  $A \in K(X)$ .
- (3) If  $f \in E^X$  is  $Q$ -integrable with respect to  $m$ , then  $f$  is  $Q$ -integrable with respect to  $m^{(k)}$  and  $Q_{m,f} \leq Q_{m^{(k)},f}$ . Moreover

$$(Q) \int f dm = (Q) \int f dm^{(k)}.$$

*Proof:* Let  $A \in K(X)$ . Clearly  $|ms|(A) \leq |m^{(k)}s|(A)$ . On the other hand, let  $|m^{(k)}s|(A) > \theta > 0$ . There exists  $D \in K_c(X)$ ,  $D \subset A$ , such that  $|m^{(k)}(D)s| > \theta$ . Let  $h = \chi_D s$ . Since  $|m^{(k)}(D)s| \leq \sup_{x \in D} Q_{m,h}(x)$ , there exists  $x \in D$  such that  $Q_{m,h}(x) > \theta$ . The set  $Y = \{z \in X : Q_{m,h}(z) \geq \theta\}$  is compact. Hence there exists  $Z \in K(X)$  with  $Z \cap Y = D \cap Y$ . Since  $x \in Z \cap A$  and  $Q_{m,h}(x) > \theta$ , there exists  $W \in K(X)$  contained in  $Z \cap A$  and such

that  $|m(W)h(x)| > \theta$ . Then  $h(x) = s$  and so  $|m(W)s| > \theta$ , which proves that  $|ms|(A) > \theta$ . Thus,  $|ms|(A) \geq |m^{(k)}s|(A)$ . Assume next that  $m_p^{(k)}(A) > \alpha > 0$ . There exists  $B \in K_c(X)$  contained in  $A$  and  $s \in E$  with  $|m^{(k)}(B)s|/p(s) > \alpha$ . Now  $|ms|(A) = |m^{(k)}s|(A) > \alpha \cdot p(s)$ . Thus  $m_p(A) \geq |ms|(A)/p(s) > \alpha$ , which shows that  $m_p(A) = m_p^{(k)}(A)$ . Thus (1) and (2) hold.

(3). Assume that  $f \in E^X$  is  $Q$ -integrable with respect to  $m$ .

Claim : If  $x \in D \in K(X)$ , then

$$\sup_{Z \in K_c(X), Z \subset D} |m^{(k)}(Z)f(x)| = \sup_{Z \in K(X), Z \subset D} |m(Z)f(x)|.$$

Indeed, suppose that there exists a  $Z \in K_c(X)$  contained in  $D$  such that  $|m^{(k)}(Z)f(x)| > \theta > 0$ . For  $h = \chi_Z f(x)$ , we have

$$\theta < |m^{(k)}(Z)f(x)| \leq \sup_{z \in Z} Q_{m,h}(z).$$

Thus, there exists  $z \in Z$  with  $Q_{m,h}(z) > \theta$ . Since  $z \in Z \subset D$ , there exists  $W \in K(X)$  contained in  $D$  such that  $|m(W)h(z)| = |m(W)f(x)| > \theta$ . This clearly proves the claim. Now

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{m,f}(x) &= \inf_{x \in D \in K(X)} \sup_{D \supset Z \in K(X)} |m(Z)f(x)| \\ &= \inf_{x \in D \in K(X)} \sup_{D \supset Z \in K_c(X)} |m^{(k)}(Z)f(x)| \geq Q_{m^{(k)},f}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f$  is  $Q$ -integrable with respect to  $m$ , there exists a sequence  $(g_n) \subset S(X, E) \subset S(X^{(k)}, E)$  such that  $\|f - g_n\|_{Q_m} \rightarrow 0$ . But then  $\|f - g_n\|_{Q_{m^{(k)}}} \leq \|f - g_n\|_{Q_m} \rightarrow 0$ . Hence  $f$  is  $Q$ -integrable with respect to  $m^{(k)}$  and

$$(Q) \int f dm^{(k)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int g_n dm^{(k)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int g_n dm = (Q) \int f dm.$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

Next we recall the definition of the topology  $\bar{\beta}_o$  which was given in [14]. Let  $C_{b,k}(X, E)$  be the space of all bounded  $E$ -valued functions on  $X$  whose restriction to every compact subset of  $X$  is continuous. By Theorem 7 we have that  $C_{b,k}(X, E) = C_b(X^{(k)}, E)$ . For  $p \in cs(E)$ , we denote by  $\bar{\beta}_{o,p}$  the locally convex topology on  $C_{b,k}(X, E)$  generated by the seminorms  $f \mapsto \|hf\|_p$ ,  $h \in B_o(X)$ . Since  $X$  and  $X^{(k)}$  have the same compact sets, we have that  $B_o(X) = B_o(X^{(k)})$  and so  $\bar{\beta}_{o,p}$  coincides with the topology  $\beta_{o,p}$  on  $C_b(X^{(k)}, E)$ . The topology  $\bar{\beta}_o$  is defined to be the locally convex projective limit of the topologies  $\bar{\beta}_{o,p}$ ,  $p \in cs(E)$ . Thus  $\bar{\beta}_o$  coincides with topology  $\beta_o$  on  $C_b(X^{(k)}, E)$ .

**Theorem 10.** (1) If  $m \in M_t(X, E')$ , then every  $f \in C_{b,k}(X, E)$  is  $Q$ -integrable with respect to  $m$  and

$$(Q) \int f dm = \int f dm^{(k)}.$$

Thus the map

$$\phi_m : C_{b,k}(X, E) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad \phi_m(f) = (Q) \int f dm$$

is  $\bar{\beta}_o$ -continuous.

(2) If  $E$  is polar, then every  $\bar{\beta}_o$ -continuous linear functional  $\phi$  on  $C_{b,k}(X, E)$  is of the form  $\phi_m$  for some  $m \in M_t(X, E')$ .

*Proof:* 1. Let  $p \in cs(E)$  be such that  $m \in M_{t,p}(X, E')$  and  $\|m\|_p < 1$ . Let  $d > \|f\|_p$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . There exists a compact subset  $Y$  of  $X$  such that  $m_p(V) < \epsilon/d$  for every  $V \in K(X)$  disjoint from  $Y$ . For each  $x \in Y$ , the set

$$D_x = \{y \in Y : p(f(y) - f(x)) < \epsilon\}$$

is clopen in  $Y$  and  $D_x = D_y$  if  $D_x \cap D_y \neq \emptyset$ . In view of the compactness of  $Y$ , there are  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $Y$  such that the sets  $D_{x_1}, \dots, D_{x_n}$  form a partition of  $Y$ . For each  $k$ , there exists a clopen subset  $V_k$  of  $X$  such that  $V_k \cap Y = D_{x_k}$ . If  $W_k = V_k \setminus \bigcup_{i \neq k} V_i$ , then  $W_k \cap Y = D_{x_k}$ . Let  $g = \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{W_k} f(x_k)$ . Then  $\|f - g\|_{Q_m} \leq \epsilon$ . Indeed, let  $x \in X$ .

Case I:  $x \notin Y$ . There is a clopen neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  disjoint from  $Y$ . If  $B \in K(X)$  is contained in  $V$ , then

$$|m(B)[f(x) - g(x)]| \leq p(f(x) - g(x)) \cdot m_p(V) \leq \epsilon$$

and so  $Q_{m, f-g}(x) \leq \epsilon$ .

Case II :  $x \in Y$ . There exists a  $k$  such that  $x \in W_k$  and so  $g(x) = f(x_k)$ . If a clopen set  $B$  is contained in  $W_k$ , then

$$|m(B)[f(x) - g(x)]| = |m(B)[f(x) - f(x_k)]| \leq m_p(V_k) \cdot p(f(x) - f(x_k)) \leq \epsilon,$$

and so again  $Q_{m, f-g}(x) \leq \epsilon$ . This proves that  $\|f - g\|_{Q_m} \leq \epsilon$  and so  $f$  is  $Q$ -integrable. Now

$$\phi_m(f) = (Q) \int f dm = (Q) \int f dm^{(k)} = \int f dm^{(k)}.$$

Thus  $\phi_m$  is  $\bar{\beta}_o$ -continuous on  $C_{b,k}(X, E)$ .

Finally assume that  $E$  is polar and let  $\phi$  be a  $\bar{\beta}_o$ -continuous linear functional on  $C_{b,k}(X, E)$ . Since  $\bar{\beta}_o$  induces the topology  $\beta_o$  on  $C_b(X, E)$ , there exists an  $m \in M_t(X, E')$  such that

$$\phi(f) = \int f dm = (Q) \int f dm$$

for each  $f \in C_b(X, E)$ . Now  $\phi$  and  $\phi_m$  are both  $\bar{\beta}_o$ -continuous on  $C_{b,k}(X, E)$  and they coincide on the  $\bar{\beta}_o$ -dense subspace  $C_b(X, E)$  of  $C_{b,k}(X, E)$ . Thus  $\phi = \phi_m$  and the proof is complete.

### 3 The Dual Space of $(C_b(X, E), \beta_1)$

For  $u$  a linear functional on  $C_b(X, E)$ ,  $p \in cs(E)$  and  $h \in \mathbb{K}^X$ , we define

$$|u|_p(h) = \sup\{|u(g)| : g \in C_b(X, E), p \circ g \leq |h|\}.$$

**Theorem 11.** *For a linear functional  $u$  on  $C_b(X, E)$ , the following are equivalent :*

- (1)  $u$  is  $\beta_1$ -continuous.
- (2) For each sequence  $(V_n)$  of clopen sets, with  $V_n \downarrow \emptyset$ , there exists  $p \in cs(E)$  such that  $\|u\|_p < \infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u|_p(\chi_{V_n}) = 0$ .
- (3) For each sequence  $(h_n)$  in  $C_b(X)$ , with  $h_n \downarrow 0$ , there exists  $p \in cs(E)$  such that  $\|u\|_p < \infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u|_p(h_n) \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof:* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $V_n \downarrow \emptyset$  and  $H = \bigcap \overline{V_n}^{\beta_o X}$ . Then  $H \in \Omega_1$  and so  $u$  is  $\beta_{H,p}$ -continuous for some  $p \in cs(E)$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $h \in C_H$  be such that

$$W_1 = \{f \in C_b(X, E) : \|hf\|_p \leq 1\} \subset W = \{f : |u(f)| \leq \epsilon\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\|u\|_p < \infty$ . Let  $M = \{x \in X : |h(x)| \geq 1\}$ . There exists  $n_o$  such that  $M \subset V_{n_o}^c$ . Let now  $n \geq n_o$  and  $f \in C_b(X, E)$  with  $p \circ f \leq |\chi_{V_n}|$ . Let  $f_1 = \chi_M f$ ,  $f_2 = f - f_1$ . If  $x \in M$ , then  $x \in V_n^c$  and so  $p(f(x)) = 0$ . This implies that  $f_1 \in W_1 \subset W$ . Also, if  $x \notin M$ , then  $|h(x)| \leq 1$  and so  $|h(x)|p(f(x)) \leq 1$ , which proves that  $f_2 \in W_1$ . Thus  $f = f_1 + f_2 \in W$ , which shows that  $|u|_p(\chi_{V_n}) \leq \epsilon$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let  $h_n \downarrow 0$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\|h_1\| \leq 1$ . Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $0 < |\lambda| < 1$  and set

$$V_n = \{x : |h_n(x)| \geq |\lambda|\}.$$

Then  $V_n \downarrow \emptyset$ . By (2), there exists  $p \in cs(E)$  with  $\|u\|_p < \infty$  and  $|u|_p(\chi_{V_n}) \rightarrow 0$ . We may choose  $p$  so that  $\|u\|_p \leq 1$ . Choose  $n_o$  such that  $|u|_p(\chi_{V_n}) < |\lambda|$  if  $n \geq n_o$ . Let now  $n \geq n_o$ . We will show that  $|u|_p(h_n) \leq |\lambda|$ . In fact, let  $f \in C_b(X, E)$  with  $p \circ f \leq |h_n|$ ,  $g_1 = \chi_{V_n} f$ ,  $g_2 = f - g_1$ . If  $x \in V_n$ , then  $p(g_1(x)) \leq |h_n(x)|$  and so  $p \circ g_1 \leq |\chi_{V_n}|$ , which implies that  $|u(g_1)| \leq |\lambda|$ . If  $x \notin V_n$ , then  $p(g_2(x)) = p(f(x)) \leq |h_n(x)| < |\lambda|$ . Hence  $|u(g_2)| \leq \|u\|_p \cdot \|g_2\|_p \leq |\lambda|$ , and therefore  $|u(f)| \leq |\lambda|$ . This proves that  $|u|_p(h_n) \leq |\lambda|$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). It is trivial.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let

$$W = \{f \in C_b(X, E) : |u(f)| \leq 1\}$$

and let  $H \in \Omega_1$ . There exists a decreasing sequence  $(V_n)$  of clopen subsets of  $X$  with  $\bigcap \overline{V_n}^{\beta_o X} = H$ . Let  $p \in cs(E)$  be such that  $\|u\|_p \leq 1$  and  $|u|_p(\chi_{V_n}) \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $\lambda$  be a nonzero element of  $\mathbb{K}$  and choose  $n$  so that  $|u|_p(\chi_{V_n}) < |\lambda|^{-1}$ . Now

$$W_1 = \{f \in C_b(X, E) : \|f\|_p \leq |\lambda|, \|f\|_{V_n, p} \leq 1\} \subset W.$$

Indeed, let  $f \in W_1$  and set  $f_1 = \chi_{V_n} f$ ,  $f_2 = f - f_1$ . Since  $|\lambda^{-1} f_1| \leq |\chi_{V_n}|$ , we have that  $|u(f_1)| \leq 1$ . Also  $|u(f_2)| \leq \|f_2\|_p \leq 1$ , and so  $|u(f)| \leq 1$ , which proves that  $W_1 \subset W$ . By [13], Theorem 2.2, it follows that  $W$  is a  $\beta_{H, p}$ -neighborhood of zero. This, being true for all  $H \in \Omega_1$ , implies that  $W$  is a  $\beta_1$ -neighborhood of zero, i.e.  $u$  is  $\beta_1$ -continuous, which completes the proof.

**Theorem 12.** For a set  $H$  of linear functionals on  $C_b(X, E)$ , the following are equivalent

:

- (1)  $H$  is  $\beta_1$ -equicontinuous.
- (2) If  $(V_n)$  is a sequence of clopen subsets of  $X$  which decreases to the empty set, then there exists  $p \in cs(E)$  such that  $\sup_{u \in H} \|u\|_p < \infty$  and  $|u|_p(\chi_{V_n}) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly for  $u \in H$ .
- (3) If  $(h_n)$  is a sequence in  $C_b(X)$  with  $h_n \downarrow 0$ , then there exists  $p \in cs(E)$  such that  $\sup_{u \in H} \|u\|_p < \infty$  and  $|u|_p(h_n) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly for  $u \in H$ .

*Proof:* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $V_n \downarrow \emptyset$ . Then  $Z = \bigcap \overline{V_n}^{\beta_o X} \in \Omega_1$ . Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Since  $H$  is  $\beta_1$ -equicontinuous, the set  $\lambda H^o$  is a  $\beta_1$ -neighborhood of zero. Thus, there exists  $p \in cs(E)$  such that  $\lambda H^o$  is a  $\beta_{Z, p}$ -neighborhood of zero. Let  $h \in C_Z$  be such that

$$W_1 = \{f : \|hf\|_p \leq 1\} \subset \lambda H^o.$$

It follows now easily that  $\sup_{u \in H} \|u\|_p < \infty$ . Also, as in the proof of the implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) in the preceding Theorem, we prove that  $|u|_p(\chi_{V_n}) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly for  $u \in H$ . For the proofs of the implications (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) we use an argument analogous to the one used in the proof of the preceding Theorem.

**Theorem 13.** In the space  $C_b(X)$ ,  $\beta_1$  is the finest of all locally solid topologies  $\gamma$  with the following property: If  $(f_n) \subset C_b(X)$  with  $f_n \downarrow 0$ , then  $f_n \xrightarrow{\gamma} 0$ .

*Proof:* By [12], Theorems 3.7 and 3.8,  $\beta_1$  is locally solid and  $f_n \xrightarrow{\beta_1} 0$  when  $f_n \downarrow 0$ . Consider now the family  $\mathcal{U}$  of all solid absolutely convex subsets  $W$  of  $C_b(X)$  such that  $f_n \in W$  eventually when  $f_n \downarrow 0$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{U}$  is a base at zero for the finest locally solid topology  $\gamma_o$  on  $C_b(X)$  having the property mentioned in the Theorem.

Claim I :  $\gamma_o$  is coarser than  $\tau_u$ . Indeed, let  $W \in \mathcal{U}$  and let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $0 < |\lambda| < 1$ . For each  $n$ , let  $g_n$  be the constant function  $\lambda^n$ . Since  $g_n \downarrow 0$ , there exists an  $n$  with  $g_n \in W$ . If now  $f \in C_b(X)$  with  $\|f\| \leq |\lambda|^n$ , then  $f \in W$ , which implies that  $W$  is a  $\tau_u$ -neighborhood of zero.

Claim II :  $\beta_1$  is finer than  $\gamma_o$  and hence  $\beta_1 = \gamma_o$ . Indeed, let  $W \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $Z \in \Omega_1$  and  $r > 0$ . There exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$W_1 = \{f \in C_b(X) : \|g\| \leq \epsilon\} \subset W.$$

Choose  $\mu \in \mathbb{K}$  with  $|\mu| \geq r$ . There exists a decreasing sequence  $(V_n)$  of clopen subsets of  $X$  with  $Z = \bigcap \overline{V_n}^{\beta_o X}$ . Since  $\mu \chi_{V_n} \downarrow 0$ , there exists  $n$  such that  $\mu \chi_{V_n} \in W$ . Let now  $f \in C_b(X)$  with  $\|f\| \leq r$ ,  $\|f\|_{V_n^c} \leq \epsilon$ , and let  $g = f \cdot \chi_{V_n}$ ,  $h = f - g$ . Then  $|g| \leq |\mu \chi_{V_n}|$  and so  $g \in W$  since  $W$  is solid. Also,  $\|h\| \leq \epsilon$  and so  $h \in W$ , which implies that  $f \in W$ . This proves that  $W$  is a  $\beta_Z$ -neighborhood of zero for all  $Z \in \Omega_1$  and hence  $W$  is a  $\beta_1$ -neighborhood of zero. This clearly completes the proof.

The proofs of the following two Theorems are analogous to the ones of Theorems 12 and 13.

**Theorem 14.** *For a subset  $H$  of linear functionals on  $C_b(X, E)$ , the following are equivalent :*

- (1)  $H$  is  $\beta$ -equicontinuous.
- (2) For each net  $(V_\delta)$ , of clopen subsets of  $X$  with  $V_\delta \downarrow 0$ , there exists  $p \in cs(E)$  such that  $\sup_{u \in H} \|u\|_p < \infty$  and  $|u|_p(\chi_{V_\delta}) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly for  $u \in H$ .
- (3) For each net  $(h_\delta)$  in  $C_b(X)$  with  $h_\delta \downarrow 0$ , there exists  $p \in cs(E)$  such that  $\sup_{u \in H} \|u\|_p < \infty$  and  $|u|_p(h_\delta) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly for  $u \in H$ .

**Theorem 15.** *In the space  $C_b(X)$ ,  $\beta$  is the finest of all locally solid topologies  $\gamma$  with the following property: If  $(f_\delta) \subset C_b(X)$  with  $f_\delta \downarrow 0$ , then  $f_\delta \xrightarrow{\gamma} 0$ .*

## 4 The Space $M_b(X, E')$

A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is called bounding if every  $f \in C(X)$  is bounded on  $A$ . Note that several authors use the term bounded set instead of bounding. But in this paper we will use the term bounding to distinguish from the notion of a bounded set in a topological vector space. A set  $A \subset X$  is bounding iff  $\overline{A}^{\nu_o X}$  is compact. In this case (as it is shown in [1], Theorem 4.6) we have that  $\overline{A}^{\nu_o X} = \overline{A}^{\beta_o X}$ . Clearly a continuous image of a bounding set is bounding.

**Theorem 16** ([17], Theorem 3.4) *If  $G$  is a locally convex space (not necessarily Hausdorff), then every bounding subset  $A$  of  $G$  is totally bounded.*

We denote by  $M_b(X, E')$  the space of all  $m \in M(X, E')$  which have a bounding support, i.e. there exists a bounding subset  $B$  of  $X$  such that  $m(V) = 0$  for all clopen  $V$  disjoint from  $B$ . In case  $E = \mathbb{K}$ , we write simply  $M_b(X)$ .

**Theorem 17.** *If  $m \in M_b(X, E')$ , then every  $f \in C(X, E)$  is  $m$ -integrable. Moreover, if  $B$  is a bounding support of  $m$  and  $p \in cs(E)$  with  $m_p(X) < \infty$ , then*

$$\left| \int f dm \right| \leq \|f\|_{B,p} \cdot \|m\|_p.$$

*Proof:* Let  $f \in C_b(X, E)$  and let  $B$  be a bounding subset of  $X$  which is a support set for  $m$ . Since the closure of a bounding set is bounding, we may assume that  $B$  is closed. Let  $p \in cs(E)$  with  $m_p(X) < \infty$ . The set  $f(B)$  is bounding in  $E$  and hence totally bounded by Theorem 4.1. Thus, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there are  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $B$  such that the sets

$$V_k = \{x : p(f(x) - f(x_k)) \leq \epsilon / \|m\|_p\}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

are pairwise disjoint and cover  $B$ . Let  $V_{n+1} = X \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n V_k$  and choose  $x_{n+1} \in V_{n+1}$  if  $V_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{W_1, \dots, W_N\}$  be a clopen partition of  $X$  which is a refinement of  $\{V_1, \dots, V_{n+1}\}$  and  $y_j \in W_j$ . We may assume that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^k W_j$ . If  $W_j \subset V_i$  for some  $i \leq n$ , then

$$|m(W_j)[f(y_j) - f(x_i)]| \leq \|m\|_p \cdot p(f(y_j) - f(x_i)) \leq \epsilon,$$

while, for  $W_j \subset V_{n+1}$ , we have  $m(W_j) = 0$ . Thus

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^N m(W_j)f(y_j) - \sum_{i=1}^n m(V_i)f(x_i) \right| \leq \epsilon.$$

This proves that  $f$  is  $m$ -integrable and

$$\left| \int f dm - \sum_{i=1}^n m(V_i)f(x_i) \right| \leq \epsilon.$$

Since  $|m(V_i)f(x_i)| \leq \|f\|_{B,p} \cdot \|m\|_p$ , it follows that

$$\left| \int f dm \right| \leq \max\{\|f\|_{B,p} \cdot \|m\|_p, \epsilon\},$$

for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , and the proof is complete.

We denote by  $\tau_b$  the topology on  $C(X, E)$  of uniform convergence on the bounding subsets of  $X$ .

**Lemma 9.** *The space  $S(X, E)$  is  $\tau_b$ -dense in  $C(X, E)$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $f \in C(X, E)$ ,  $p \in cs(E)$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $B$  a bounding subset of  $X$ . There are  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $B$  such that the sets

$$V_k = \{x : p(f(x) - f(x_k)) \leq \epsilon\}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

are pairwise disjoint and cover  $B$ . If  $g = \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{V_k} f(x_k)$ , then  $\|f - g\|_{B,p} \leq \epsilon$  and the Lemma follows.

**Theorem 18.** *For  $m \in M_b(X, E')$ , let*

$$\psi_m : C(X, E) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad \psi_m(f) = \int f dm.$$

*Then  $\psi_m$  is  $\tau_b$ -continuous and  $M_b(X, E')$  is algebraically isomorphic to the dual space of  $(C(X, E), \tau_b)$  via the isomorphism  $m \mapsto \psi_m$ .*

*Proof:* In view of Theorem 4.2,  $\psi_m$  is an element of  $G = (C(X, E), \tau_b)'$ . On the other hand, let  $\psi \in G$ . Since  $\tau_b|_{C_{rc}(X, E)}$  is coarser than the topology  $\tau_u$  of uniform convergence, there exists  $m \in M(X, E')$  such that  $\psi(f) = \int f dm$  for all  $f \in C_{rc}(X, E)$ . Let  $B$  a bounding subset of  $X$  and  $p \in cs(E)$  be such that

$$\{f \in C(X, E) : \|f\|_{B,p} \leq 1\} \subset \{f : |\psi(f)| \leq 1\}.$$

It follows that  $B$  is a support set for  $m$  and so  $m \in M_b(X, E')$ . Now  $\psi$  and  $\psi_m$  are both  $\tau_b$ -continuous and they coincide on the  $\tau_b$ -dense subspace  $S(X, E)$  of  $C(X, E)$ . Thus  $\psi = \psi_m$  and the result follows.

Recall that, for  $p \in cs(E)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{u,p}(X, E')$  denotes the space of all  $m \in M_p(X, E')$  such that  $m_p(A_\delta) \rightarrow 0$  for each decreasing net  $(A_\delta)$  of clopen subsets of  $X$  for which  $\bigcap \overline{A_\delta}^{\beta_o X} \in \Omega_u$  (see [13], p. 123).

**Theorem 19.** *Let  $m \in M_b(X, E')$ . If  $p \in cs(E)$  is such that  $\|m\|_p < \infty$ , then  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{u,p}(X, E')$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $B$  be a bounding support for  $m$  and let  $(V_i)_{i \in I}$  be a clopen partition of  $X$ . The set  $\overline{B}^{\theta_o X}$  is compact and

$$\overline{B}^{\theta_o X} \subset \theta_o X \subset \bigcup_i \overline{V_i}^{\beta_o X}.$$

Hence, there exists a finite subset  $J$  of  $I$  such that

$$\overline{B}^{\theta_o X} \subset \bigcup_{i \in J} \overline{V_i}^{\beta_o X}$$

and so  $B \subset \bigcup_{i \in J} V_i$ , which implies that  $m_p(\bigcup_{i \notin J} V_i) = 0$ . Thus  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{u,p}(X, E')$  by [13], Theorem 5.7.

**Theorem 20.** *The topology induced by  $\tau_b$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  is coarser than  $\beta'_u$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $B$  be a bounding subset of  $X$ ,  $p \in cs(E)$  and  $H \in \Omega_u$ . There exists a clopen partition  $(V_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $X$  such that

$$H \subset \beta_o X \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} \overline{V_i}^{\beta_o X}.$$

As in the proof of the preceding Theorem, there exists a finite subset  $J$  of  $I$  such that  $B \subset \bigcup_{i \in J} V_i = V$ . If  $h = \chi_V$ , then  $h^{\beta_o} = \chi_{\overline{V}^{\beta_o X}}$  vanishes on  $H$  and

$$\{f \in C_b(X, E) : \|hf\|_p \leq \epsilon\} \subset \{f : \|f\|_{B,p} \leq \epsilon\}$$

which clearly completes the proof.

## 5 $M_s(X)$ as a Completion

The space  $M_s(X)$  was introduced in [12]. It is the space of the so called separable members of  $M_\sigma(X)$ . For  $m \in M(X)$ ,  $d$  a continuous ultrapseudometric on  $X$  and  $A$  a  $d$ -clopen subset of  $X$ , we define

$$|m|_d(A) = \sup\{|m(B)| : B \subset A, B \text{ d-clopen}\}.$$

For  $F \subset X$ , we define

$$|m|_d^*(F) = \inf_n \sup |m|_d(A_n),$$

where the infimum is taken over the family of all sequences  $(A_n)$  of  $d$ -clopen sets which cover  $F$ . An element  $m$  of  $M_\sigma(X)$  is said to be separable if, for each continuous ultrapseudometric  $d$  on  $X$ , there exists a  $d$ -closed,  $d$ -separable subset  $G$  of  $X$  such that  $|m|_d^*(X \setminus G) = 0$ . As it is shown in [12], if  $m \in M_s(X)$ , then every  $f \in C_b(X)$  is  $m$ -integrable. Let now  $G = (C_b(X, \tau_u))'$ , where  $\tau_u$  is the topology of uniform convergence. For each  $x \in X$ , let  $\delta_x$  be the corresponding Dirac measure. Thus  $\delta_x \in G$ ,  $\delta_x(f) = f(x)$ . Let  $L(X)$  be the subspace of  $G$  spanned by the

set  $\{\delta_x : x \in X\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_u$  be the collection of all equicontinuous  $\tau_u$ -bounded subsets of  $C_b(X)$ . Consider the dual pair  $\langle C_b(X), L(X) \rangle$ .

For  $d$  a bounded continuous ultrapseudometric on  $X$ , let

$$\pi_d : X \rightarrow X_d, \quad x \mapsto \tilde{x}_d,$$

be the quotient map and let

$$T_d : (C_b(X_d), \beta) \rightarrow (C_b(X), \beta_e)$$

be the induced linear map. The dual of the space  $(C_b(X), \beta_e)$  is the space  $M_s(X)$  (see [12], Theorem 6.4) and

$$T_d^*(M_s(X)) \subset M_\tau(X_d) = M_s(X_d).$$

**Theorem 21.** *For an  $m \in M_\sigma(X)$ , the following are equivalent :*

- (1)  $m \in M_s(X)$ .
- (2) *For each continuous ultrapseudometric  $d$  on  $X$ , there exists a  $d$ -closed,  $d$ -separable subset  $G$  of  $X$  such that  $m(V) = 0$  for each  $d$ -clopen set  $V$  disjoint from  $G$ .*

*Proof:* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $d$  be a continuous ultrapseudometric on  $X$  and let  $\mu = T_d^*m \in M_\tau(X_d)$ . By [12], Theorem 6.2, there exists a closed separable subset  $Z$  of  $X_d$  such that  $|\mu|^*(X_d \setminus Z) = 0$ . If  $z \in X_d \setminus Z$ , then  $N_\mu(z) = 0$ . In fact, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a sequence  $(A_n)$  of clopen subsets of  $X_d$  covering  $X_d \setminus Z$  and  $\sup_n |\mu|(A_n) < \epsilon$  and so  $N_\mu(z) < \epsilon$ . If now  $B$  is a clopen subset of  $X_d$  disjoint from  $Z$ , then  $|\mu|(B) = \sup_{z \in B} N_\mu(z) = 0$ . If  $G = \pi_d^{-1}(Z)$ , then  $G$  is  $d$ -closed,  $d$ -separable and  $m(V) = 0$  for each  $d$ -clopen set  $V$  disjoint from  $G$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $(V_i)_{i \in I}$  be a clopen partition of  $X$  and let  $f_i = \chi_{V_i}$ . Define

$$d(x, y) = \sup_i |f_i(x) - f_i(y)|.$$

Then,  $d$  is a continuous ultrapseudometric on  $X$ . Each  $V_i$  is  $d$ -clopen and hence  $\bigcup_{i \in J} V_i$  is  $d$ -clopen for each subset  $J$  of  $I$ . Since  $G$  is  $d$ -separable (and hence  $d$ -Lindelöf), there exists a countable subset  $J = \{i_1, i_2, \dots\}$  such that  $G \subset \bigcup_k V_{i_k}$ . Let  $J_1 = I \setminus J$ . The set  $V = \bigcup_{i \in J_1} V_i$  is  $d$ -clopen and  $m(V) = 0$ . Also,  $m(V_i) = 0$  for  $i \in J_1$ . Since  $m$  is  $\sigma$ -additive, we have that

$$m(X) = m(V) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(V_{i_k}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(V_{i_k}) = \sum_{i \in I} m(V_i).$$

This (In view of [12], Theorem 6.9) proves that  $m \in M_s(X)$  and the result follows.

**Lemma 10.** *If  $B \in \mathcal{E}_u$ , then the bipolar  $B^{oo}$  of  $B$ , with respect to  $\langle C_b(X), L(X) \rangle$ , is also in  $\mathcal{E}_u$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $\sigma = \sigma(C_b(X), L(X))$ . By [21], Proposition 4.10, we have that  $B^{oo} = (\overline{co(B)}^\sigma)^e$ , where  $co(B)$  is the absolutely convex hull of  $B$ ,  $\overline{co(B)}^\sigma$  the  $\sigma$ -closure of  $co(B)$  and, for  $A$  an absolutely convex subset of a vector space  $E$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $A^e$  is the edged hull of  $A$  (see [25]). Thus, if  $|\lambda| > 1$ , we have

$$B^{oo} \subset \overline{\lambda co(B)}^\sigma.$$

So it suffices to show that the set  $B_1 = \overline{co(B)}^\sigma$  is in  $\mathcal{E}_u$ . But

$$\sup_{f \in B_1} \|f\| = \sup_{f \in B} \|f\| < \infty.$$



Given  $x \in X$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \epsilon$  for every  $f \in B$  and every  $y \in V$ . It is easy to see, for  $f \in B_1$  and  $y \in V$ , we have  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \epsilon$ . This proves that  $B^{oo} \in \mathcal{E}_u$  and the result follows.

Consider now on  $L(X)$  the topology  $e_u$  of uniform convergence on the members of  $\mathcal{E}_u$ . Thus  $e_u$  is generated by the family of seminorms  $p_B$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{E}_u$ , where  $p_B(u) = \sup_{f \in B} |u(f)|$ . Let

$$\Delta : X \rightarrow L(X), \quad x \mapsto \delta_x.$$

Clearly  $\Delta$  is one-to-one.

**Theorem 22.** *The map*

$$\Delta : X \rightarrow (\Delta(X), e_u|_{\Delta(X)})$$

*is a homeomorphism.*

*Proof:* Let  $(x_\gamma)$  be a net in  $X$  converging to some  $x \in X$  and let  $B \in \mathcal{E}_u$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . There exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that

$$p_B(\delta_x - \delta_y) = \sup_{f \in B} |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$$

if  $y \in V$ . Let  $\gamma_o$  be such that  $x_\gamma \in V$  if  $\gamma \geq \gamma_o$ . Now, for  $\gamma \geq \gamma_o$ , we have that  $p_B(\delta_x - \delta_{x_\gamma}) < \epsilon$ , which proves that  $\Delta$  is continuous. Conversely, suppose that for a net  $(x_\gamma)$  in  $X$ , we have that  $\delta_{x_\gamma} \xrightarrow{e_u} \delta_x$  and let  $V$  be a clopen neighborhood of  $x$ . Let  $f = \chi_V$ ,  $B = \{f\} \in \mathcal{E}_u$ . There exists a  $\gamma_o$  such that  $p_B(x - x_\gamma) = |f(x) - f(y)| < 1$  when  $\gamma \geq \gamma_o$ . But then  $x_\gamma \in V$  when  $\gamma \geq \gamma_o$ , which proves that  $x_\gamma \rightarrow x$ , and the result follows.

In view of the preceding Theorem, we may consider  $X$  as a topological subspace of  $(L(X), e_u)$ .

**Theorem 23.**  *$e_u$  is the finest of all polar locally convex topologies  $\gamma$  on  $L(X)$  which induce on  $X$  its topology and for which  $X$  is a bounded subset of  $(L(X), \gamma)$ .*

*Proof:* The topology  $e_u$  is clearly polar. We show first that  $X$  is  $e_u$ -bounded. Indeed, let  $B \in \mathcal{E}_u$  and choose  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  with  $|\lambda| > \sup_{f \in B} \|f\|$ . Since  $|\delta_x(f)| \leq |\lambda|$ , for all  $f \in B$ , we have that  $X \subset \lambda B^o$ , and so  $X$  is  $e_u$ -bounded. Suppose now that  $\gamma$  is a polar topology on  $L(X)$  which induces on  $X$  its topology and for which  $X$  is  $\gamma$ -bounded. Let  $W$  be a polar  $\gamma$ -neighborhood of zero in  $L(X)$  and take  $B = \{\phi|_X : \phi \in W^o\}$ , where  $W^o$  is the polar of  $W$  in the dual space of  $(L(X), \gamma)$ . Every  $f \in B$  is continuous on  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $\gamma$ -bounded, there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ , such that  $X \subset \lambda W$  and so  $\sup_{f \in B} \|f\| \leq |\lambda|$ . Also,  $B$  is an equicontinuous set. In fact, let  $x \in X \subset \lambda W$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a non-zero element of  $\mathbb{K}$  and take  $V = (x + \alpha W) \cap X$ . Then  $V$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $X$ . If  $y \in V$ , then for  $\phi \in W^o$  and  $f = \phi|_X$ , we have  $|f(y) - f(x)| \leq |\alpha|$ . This proves that  $B \in \mathcal{E}_u$ . Moreover  $B^o \subset W^{oo} = W$ , which proves that  $W$  is a neighborhood of zero in  $L(X)$  for the topology  $e_u$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 24.** *The dual space of  $F = (L(X), e_u)$  coincides with  $C_b(X)$ .*

*Proof:* Since  $e_u$  is finer than the weak topology  $\sigma(L(X), C_b(X))$ , it follows that  $C_b(X)$  is contained in  $F'$  (considering every element of  $C_b(X)$  as a linear functional on  $L(X)$ ). On the other hand, let  $\phi \in F'$  and define  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ ,  $f(x) = \phi(\delta_x)$ . Then  $f$  is continuous. Since  $X$  is  $e_u$ -bounded, there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  such that  $X \subset \lambda D$ , where  $D = \{u \in L(X) : |\phi(u)| \leq 1\}$ . It follows that  $\|\phi\| \leq |\lambda|$  and so  $f \in C_b(X)$ . It is now clear that  $\phi(u) = \langle f, u \rangle$ , for all  $u \in L(X)$ , and the result follows.

Next we will look at the completion  $\hat{F}$  of the space  $F = (L(X), e_u)$ . Since  $F$  is a Hausdorff polar space,  $\hat{F}$  is the space of all linear functionals on  $F' = C_b(X)$  which are  $\sigma(C_b(X), L(X))$ -continuous on each  $e_u$ -equicontinuous subset of  $C_b(X)$  (by [16]). We will prove that  $\hat{F}$  coincides

with the space  $M_s(X)$  equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on the members of  $\mathcal{E}_u$ .

**Lemma 11.** *A subset  $B$  of  $C_b(X)$  is  $e_u$ -equicontinuous iff  $B \in \mathcal{E}_u$ .*

*Proof:* If  $B \in \mathcal{E}_u$ , then  $B^\circ$  is an  $e_u$ -neighborhood of zero and so  $B^{\circ\circ}$  (and hence also its subset  $B$ ) is  $e_u$ -equicontinuous. Conversely, let  $B$  be an  $e_u$ -equicontinuous subset of  $C_b(X)$ . There exists  $B_1 \in \mathcal{E}_u$  such that  $B \subset B_1^{\circ\circ}$ . Since  $B_1^{\circ\circ} \in \mathcal{E}_u$ , the same holds for  $B$  and the Lemma follows.

**Theorem 25.** *The completion of the space  $F = (L(X), e_u)$  is the space  $M_s(X)$  equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on the members of  $\mathcal{E}_u$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $u \in \hat{F}$ . Then  $u$  is a linear functional on  $F' = C_b(X)$ .

**Claim I.**  $u$  is  $\tau_u$ -continuous. In fact, Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence in  $C_b(X)$  with  $f_n \xrightarrow{\tau_u} 0$ . The set  $B = \{f_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{E}_u$  and  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  in the weak topology  $\sigma(C_b(X), L(X))$ . Since  $u \in \hat{F}$ , we have that  $u(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ , which proves that  $u$  is  $\tau_u$ -continuous.

**Claim II.**  $u$  is  $\beta_u$ -continuous. To prove this, it suffices to show that, on every member of  $\mathcal{E}_u$ ,  $u$  is continuous with respect to the topology of simple convergence (by [12], Theorem 6.4). But the last topology coincides with  $\sigma(C_b(X), L(X))$ . Hence the claim follows.

By [12], Theorem 6.4, there exists an  $m \in M_s(X)$  such that  $u(f) = \int f dm$ , for all  $f \in C_b(X)$ . Conversely, if  $m \in M_s(X)$ , then the linear functional  $u_m$  on  $C_b(X)$ ,  $u_m(f) = \int f dm$ , is in  $\hat{F}$  by Lemma 11 and by [12], Theorem 6.4. This clearly completes the proof.

**Theorem 26.** *Let  $E$  be a Hausdorff polar locally convex space and let  $f : X \rightarrow E$  be continuous such that  $f(X)$  is bounded. Then there exists a unique continuous linear map  $T : (L(X), e_u) \rightarrow E$  such that  $T = f$  on  $X$ . If  $E$  is in addition complete, then there exists a continuous linear map  $T : (M_s(X), e_u) \rightarrow E$  such that  $T = f$  on  $X$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $T : (L(X), e_u) \rightarrow E$  be the unique continuous linear extension of  $f$ . We need to show that  $T$  is  $e_u$ -continuous. Let  $\tau_o$  be the polar topology of  $E$ . Then  $\tau_1 = T^{-1}(\tau_o)$  is polar and so the supremum  $\tau_2 = e_u \vee \tau_1$  is polar. It is easy to see that  $X$  is  $\tau_2$ -bounded. Also  $\tau_2|_X$  coincides with the topology of  $X$ . In view of Theorem 23,  $\tau_2$  coincide with  $e_u$  which clearly implies that  $T$  is  $e_u$ -continuous. In case  $E$  is complete,  $T$  has a continuous linear extension  $\hat{T} : (M_s(X), e_u) \rightarrow E$  since  $(L(X), e_u)$  is a dense topological subspace of  $(M_s(X), e_u)$ . Hence the result follows.

A linear functional  $\phi$  on  $C_b(X)$  is said to be bounded if it is  $\tau_u$ -continuous. Equivalently,  $\phi$  is bounded if

$$\|\phi\| = \sup\{|\phi(f)|/\|f\| : f \in C_b(X), f \neq 0\} < \infty.$$

**Theorem 27.** *For a linear functional  $\phi$  on  $C_b(X)$  the following are equivalent :*

- (1) *There exists  $m \in M_s(X)$  such that  $\phi(f) = \int f dm$  for all  $f \in C_b(X)$ .*
- (2)  *$\phi$  is bounded and, for each equicontinuous net  $(f_\delta)$  in  $C_b(X)$ , with  $f_\delta \downarrow 0$ , we have that  $\phi(f_\delta) \rightarrow 0$ .*

*Proof:* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $m \in M_s(X)$  be such that  $\phi = u_m$ ,  $u_m(f) = \int f dm$ . By Theorem 25,  $\phi$  belongs to the completion of  $F = (L(X), e_u)$ . Then  $\phi$  is bounded. Let  $(f_\delta)_{\delta \in \Delta}$  be an equicontinuous net with  $f_\delta \downarrow 0$ . If  $\delta_o \in \Delta$ , then taking the subnet  $(f_\delta)_{\delta \geq \delta_o}$  we see that  $\{f_\delta : \delta \geq \delta_o\} \in \mathcal{E}_u$ . Since  $f_\delta(x) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $x$ , we have that  $\phi(f_\delta) \rightarrow 0$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Since  $\phi$  is bounded, there exists an  $m \in M(X)$  such that  $\phi(f) = \int f dm$  for all  $f \in C_{rc}(X)$ .

**Claim I.**  $m \in M_s(X)$ . Indeed, let  $(V_i)_{i \in I}$  be a clopen partition of  $X$ . For each finite subset  $J$  of  $I$ , let  $A_J = \bigcup_{i \in J} V_i$ ,  $B_J = A_J^c$ . If  $f_J = \chi_{B_J}$ , then  $f_J \downarrow 0$ . Also  $(f_J)$  is equicontinuous and  $f_J \rightarrow 0$  pointwise. By our hypothesis,  $m(B_J) = \phi(f_J) \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $m(X) - \sum_{i \in J} m(V_i) = m(B_J) \rightarrow 0$ , and so  $m \in M_s(X)$  by [12], Theorem 6.9.

**Claim II.**  $\phi = u_m$ . Indeed, let  $f \in C_b(X)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . consider the equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $X$ ,  $x \sim y$  iff  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \epsilon$ . Let  $(V_i)_{i \in I}$  be the clopen partition of  $X$  corresponding to  $\sim$ . Let  $x_i \in V_i$ ,  $\alpha_i = f(x_i)$ . For each finite subset  $J$  of  $I$ , let  $g_J = \sum_{i \in J} \alpha_i \chi_{V_i}$ ,  $h_J = \sum_{i \notin J} \alpha_i \chi_{V_i}$ . Then  $(h_J)$  is equicontinuous and  $h_J \downarrow 0$ . By our hypothesis,  $\phi(h_J) \rightarrow 0$ . Also,  $u_m(h_J) \rightarrow 0$ . Hence there exists  $J$  such that  $|u_m(h_J)| < \epsilon$ ,  $|\phi(h_J)| < \epsilon$ . Let  $g = f - g_J - h_J$ . Then  $\|g\| \leq \epsilon$ . Hence

$$|\phi(g)| \leq \|\phi\| \cdot \|g\| \leq \epsilon \|\phi\|, \quad |u_m(g)| \leq \epsilon \|m\|.$$

Since  $\phi(g_J) = u_m(g_J)$ , it follows that

$$|\phi(f) - u_m(f)| \leq \max\{\epsilon \|\phi\|, \quad \epsilon \|m\|\}.$$

As  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, we conclude that  $\phi(f) = u_m(f)$  and the proof is complete.

**Lemma 12.** For  $d$  a bounded continuous ultrapseudometric on  $X$  the map

$$T_d^* : (M_s(X), e_u) \rightarrow (M_\tau(X_d), e_u)$$

is continuous.

*Proof:* It follows from the fact that, if  $A \in \mathcal{E}_u(X_d)$ , then  $B = T_d(A) \in \mathcal{E}_u(X)$  and  $T_d^*(B^\circ) \subset A^\circ$ .

**Theorem 28.**  $(M_s(X), e_u)$  is the projective limit of the spaces  $(M_\tau(X_d), e_u)$ , with respect to the maps  $T_d^*$ , where  $d$  ranges over the family of all bounded continuous ultrapseudometrics on  $X$ .

*Proof:* We need to show that the topology  $e_u$  is the weakest of all locally convex topologies  $\tau$  on  $M_s(X)$  for which each

$$T_d^* : (M_s(X), \tau) \rightarrow (M_\tau(X_d), e_u)$$

is continuous. Let  $\tau$  be such a topology and let  $B \in \mathcal{E}_u(X)$ . Define  $d(x, y) = \sup_{f \in B} |f(x) - f(y)|$ . Then  $d$  is a bounded continuous ultrapseudometric on  $X$ . For each  $f \in B$ , the function

$$\tilde{f} : X_d \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}_d) = f(x),$$

is well defined and continuous. Clearly the set  $A = \{\tilde{f} : f \in B\}$  is uniformly bounded. Let  $\tilde{x}_d \in X_d$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . The set

$$V = \{\tilde{y}_d : \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_d, \tilde{y}_d) \leq \epsilon\}$$

is a neighborhood of  $\tilde{x}_d$  and, for  $\tilde{y}_d \in V$  and  $f \in B$ , we have

$$|\tilde{f}(\tilde{y}_d) - \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}_d)| \leq \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_d, \tilde{y}_d) \leq \epsilon.$$

Thus  $A \in \mathcal{E}_u(X_d)$ . Since  $T_d^*$  is  $\tau$ -continuous, the set  $M = (T_d^*)^{-1}(A^\circ)$  is a  $\tau$ -neighborhood of zero. But  $M \subset B^\circ$ . Thus  $B^\circ$  is a  $\tau$ -neighborhood of zero, which proves that  $\tau$  is finer than  $e_u$ . Hence the result follows.

## 6 $M_{sv_o}(X)$ as a Completion

For  $X \subset Y \subset \beta_o X$ , and  $m \in M(X)$ , we denote by  $m^Y$  the element of  $M(Y)$  defined by  $m^Y(V) = m(V \cap X)$ . We denote by  $m^{v_o}$  and  $m^{\beta_o}$  the  $m^Y$  for  $Y = v_o X$  and  $Y = \beta_o X$ , respectively.

**Theorem 29.** ([17], Theorem 2.4) Let  $m \in M_p(X, E')$  and  $\mu = m^{\beta_o}$ . The following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset v_o X$ .
- (2) If  $V_n \downarrow \emptyset$ , then there exists an  $n_o$  such that  $m(V_n) = 0$  for every  $n \geq n_o$ .
- (3) If  $V_n \downarrow \emptyset$ , then there exists an  $n$  such that  $m(V) = 0$  for every clopen set  $V$  contained in  $V_n$ .
- (4) For every  $Z \in \Omega_1$  there exists a clopen subset  $A$  on  $\beta_o X$  disjoint from  $Z$  and such that  $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset A$ .
- (5) If  $V_n \downarrow \emptyset$ , then there exists an  $n$  such that  $m_p(V_n) = 0$ .

For each  $x \in X$ ,  $\delta_x$  may be considered as an element of the algebraic dual  $C(X)^*$  of the space  $C(X)$ . Let  $L(X)$  be the subspace of  $C(X)^*$  spanned by the set  $\{\delta_x : x \in X\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(X)$  be the family of all pointwise bounded equicontinuous subsets of  $C(X)$ .

**Lemma 13.** *The bidual  $B^{oo}$ , of a set  $B \in \mathcal{E}$ , with respect to the pair  $\langle C(X), L(X) \rangle$ , is also in  $\mathcal{E}$ .*

*Proof:* The proof is analogous to the one of Lemma 10.

Consider on  $L(X)$  the locally convex topology  $e$  of uniform convergence on the members of  $\mathcal{E}$ . As in Theorem 30, we have the following

**Theorem 30.** *If  $\Delta : X \rightarrow L(X)$ ,  $x \mapsto \delta_x$ , then the map*

$$\Delta : X \rightarrow (\Delta(X), e|_{\Delta(X)})$$

*is a homeomorphism.*

In view of the preceding Theorem, we may consider  $X$  as a topological subspace of  $(L(X), e)$ .

**Theorem 31.**  *$e$  is the finest of all polar topologies on  $L(X)$  which induce on  $X$  its topology.*

*Proof:* The proof is analogous to the one of Theorem 11.

The proof of the following Theorem is analogous to the one of Theorem 24.

**Theorem 32.** *The dual space of  $G = (L(X), e)$  coincides with  $C(X)$ .*

**Lemma 14.** *A subset  $B$ , of the dual space  $C(X)$  of  $G = (L(X), e)$ , is  $e$ -equicontinuous iff  $B \in \mathcal{E}$ .*

*Proof:* The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 11.

Next we will look at the completion of the space  $G = (L(X), e)$ . Since  $G$  is Hausdorff and polar, its completion  $\hat{G}$  coincides with the space of all linear functionals on  $G' = C(X)$  which are  $\sigma(C(X), L(X))$ -continuous (equivalently continuous with respect to the topology of simple convergence on  $e$ -equicontinuous subsets of  $C(X)$ , i.e. on the members of  $\mathcal{E}$ ). The topology of  $\hat{G}$  is that of uniform convergence on the members of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let  $M_{sv_o}(X)$  be the space of all  $m \in M_s(X)$  for which  $\text{supp}(m^{\beta_o}) \subset v_o X$ . For  $m \in M_{sv_o}(X)$ , we will show that every  $f \in C(X)$  is  $m$ -integrable. Thus  $m$  defines a linear functional  $u_m$  on  $C(X)$ ,  $u_m(f) = \int f dm$ . We will prove that  $M_{sv_o}(X)$  is algebraically isomorphic to  $\hat{G}$  via the isomorphism  $m \mapsto u_m$ .

**Theorem 33.** *If  $m \in M_b(X)$ , then  $u_m \in \hat{G}$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $D$  be a bounding subset of  $X$  which is a support set for  $m$ . The set  $Z = \bar{D}^{\beta_o X}$  is contained in  $\theta_o X$ . Let  $B \in \mathcal{E}$  and let  $(f_\delta)$  be a net in  $B$  which converges pointwise to the zero function. Since the set  $B^{\theta_o} = \{f^{\theta_o} : f \in B\}$  is in  $\mathcal{E}(\theta_o X)$  (by [17] Theorem 3.10), given  $z \in Z$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a clopen neighborhood  $W_z$  of  $z$  in  $\theta_o X$  such that  $|f^{\theta_o}(z) - f^{\theta_o}(y)| \leq \epsilon/\|m\|$  for all  $f \in B$  and all  $y \in W_z$ . In view of the compactness of  $Z$ , there are  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  in  $Z$  such that  $Z \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n W_{z_k}$ . Let  $V_k = X \cap W_{z_k}$ . If  $a, b \in V_k$ , then  $|f(a) - f(b)| \leq \epsilon/\|m\|$  for all

$f \in B$ . Let  $A_1 = V_1$ ,  $A_{k+1} = V_{k+1} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k V_i$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Keeping those  $A_i$  which are not empty, we may assume that  $A_i \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i$ . Choose  $x_i \in A_i$ . Clearly  $|m|(X \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k) = 0$ . Since  $f_\delta \rightarrow 0$  pointwise, there exists  $\delta_o$  such that

$$\max\{|f_\delta(x_k)| : 1 \leq k \leq n\} \leq \epsilon/\|m\|$$

for all  $\delta \geq \delta_o$ . Let now  $\delta \geq \delta_o$ . Then

$$\left| \int_{A_k} f_\delta dm - m(A_k)f_\delta(x_k) \right| \leq \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |m(A_k)f_\delta(x_k)| \leq \epsilon,$$

which implies that  $|\int_{A_k} f_\delta dm| \leq \epsilon$ . Thus, for  $\delta \geq \delta_o$ , we have

$$\left| \int f_\delta dm \right| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{A_k} f_\delta dm \right| \leq \epsilon,$$

which completes the proof.

**Theorem 34.** *Let  $m \in M_{sv_o}(X)$ ,  $g \in C(X)$  and  $d$  a continuous ultrapseudometric on  $X$  be such that  $g$  is  $d$ -uniformly continuous. Then :*

- (1)  $g$  is  $m$ -integrable.
- (2) If  $\mu = T_d^* m \in M_\tau(X_d)$ , then  $\mu$  has compact support.
- (3) The function

$$\tilde{g} : X_d \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad \tilde{g}(\tilde{x}_d) = g(x),$$

is well defined and continuous. Moreover  $\int \tilde{g} d\mu = \int g dm$ .

- (4)  $u_m \in \hat{G}$ .

*Proof:* (1). Let  $V_n = \{x \in X : |g(x)| \leq n\}$ ,  $W_n = V_n^c$ . Since  $W_n \downarrow 0$  and  $\text{supp}(m^{\beta_o}) \subset v_o X$ , there exists  $n$  such that  $|m|(W_n) = 0$  (by Theorem 29). Let  $h = g \cdot \chi_{V_n}$ . Then  $f = h$  m.a.e. (see [14, Definition 2.4]), and so  $f$  is  $m$ -integrable since  $h$  is  $m$ -integrable. Moreover  $\int g dm = \int h dm$ .

- (2) Since  $\mu$  is  $\tau$ -additive, we have

$$\text{supp}(\mu^{\beta_o}) = \overline{\text{supp}(\mu)^{\beta_o X_d}}.$$

Now it suffices to show that  $\text{supp}(\mu)$  is bounding since  $X_d$  is a  $\mu_o$ -space. So we need to prove that  $\text{supp}(\mu^{\beta_o}) \subset v_o X_d$ . To show this it is enough to prove that

$$\text{supp}(\mu^{\beta_o}) \subset \pi^{\beta_o}(\text{supp}(m^{\beta_o})) = D,$$

where  $\pi : X \rightarrow X_d$  is the quotient map. So, let  $W$  be a clopen subset of  $\beta_o X$  which is disjoint from  $D$ . Then  $(\pi^{\beta_o})^{-1}(W)$  is disjoint from  $\text{supp}(m^{\beta_o})$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{\beta_o}(W) &= \mu(W \cap X_d) = \langle T_d^* m, \chi_{W \cap X_d} \rangle \\ &= m(\pi^{-1}(W \cap X_d)) = m^{\beta_o}(\overline{\pi^{-1}(W \cap X_d)}^{\beta_o X}). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\pi^{-1}(W \cap X_d) \subset (\pi^{\beta_o})^{-1}(W) \quad \text{and so} \quad \overline{\pi^{-1}(W \cap X_d)}^{\beta_o X} \subset (\pi^{\beta_o})^{-1}(W)$$

which implies that  $\mu^{\beta_o}(W) = 0$ . It follows that the support of  $\mu^{\beta_o}$  is contained in  $D$  and this proves (2).

(3). It is easy to see that  $\tilde{g}$  is well defined and continuous. Let

$$A_n = \{x \in X : |g(x)| \leq n\}.$$

There exists an  $n$  such that  $|m|(A_n^c) = 0$ . If  $h = g \cdot \chi_{A_n}$ , then  $\pi(A_n)$  is d-clopen and  $\tilde{h} = \tilde{g} \cdot \chi_{\pi(A_n)}$ . If  $Y$  is a clopen subset of  $X_d$  disjoint from  $\pi(A_n)$ , then  $\mu(Y) = m(\pi^{-1}(Y)) = 0$  since  $\pi^{-1}(Y)$  is disjoint from  $A_n$ . Thus

$$\int g \, dm = \int h \, dm = \int \tilde{h} \, d\mu = \int \tilde{g} \, d\mu.$$

(4). Let  $B \in \mathcal{E}$  and let  $(f_\delta)$  be a net in  $B$  which converges pointwise to the zero function. Define  $d(x, y) = \sup_{f \in B} |f(x) - f(y)|$ . Now  $\tilde{B} = \{\tilde{f} : f \in B\} \in \mathcal{E}(X_d)$  and  $\tilde{f}_\delta \rightarrow 0$  pointwise. Since  $\mu$  has a bounding support, we have that  $\int f_\delta \, dm = \int \tilde{f}_\delta \, d\mu \rightarrow 0$  by the preceding Theorem. This proves that  $u_m \in \tilde{G}$  and the result follows.

**Theorem 35.** *If  $\phi \in \tilde{G}$ , then there exists an  $m \in M_{sv_o}(X)$  such that  $\phi = u_m$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $B \in \mathcal{E}_u$  and let  $(f_\delta)$  be a net in  $B$  which converges pointwise to the zero function. Then  $\phi(f_\delta) \rightarrow 0$ , which proves that  $\phi|_{C_b(X)}$  belongs to the completion of the space  $F = (L(X), e_u)$ . Thus, by Theorem 5.7, there exists  $m \in M_s(X)$  such that  $\phi(f) = \int f \, dm$  for all  $f \in C_b(X)$ . We will show first that  $\text{supp}(m^{\beta_o}) \subset v_o X$ . In fact, assume that there exists a  $z \in \text{supp}(m^{\beta_o}) \setminus v_o X$ . Let  $(V_n)$  be a sequence of clopen subsets of  $X$ , with  $V_n \downarrow \emptyset$  and  $z \in \overline{V_n}^{\beta_o X}$  for all  $n$ . Since  $z \in \text{supp}(m^{\beta_o})$ , there exists a clopen subset  $A_n$  of  $\overline{V_n}^{\beta_o X}$  with  $m^{\beta_o}(A_n) = \alpha_n \neq 0$ . Let  $B_n = A_n \cap X$  and  $f_n = \alpha_n^{-1} \chi_{B_n}$ . Given  $x \in X$ , there exists  $n_o$  such that  $x \notin V_{n_o}$ . For  $y \notin V_{n_o}$ , we have  $f_n(y) = 0$  for all  $n \geq n_o$ . Hence  $(f_n) \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  pointwise. Thus

$$1 = \alpha_n^{-1} m(B_n) = \int f_n \, dm \rightarrow 0,$$

a contradiction. This proves that  $m \in M_{sv_o}(X)$ . We will finish the proof by showing that  $\phi(f) = \int f \, dm$  for all  $f \in C(X)$ . So, let  $f \in C(X)$ . For each positive integer  $n$ , let

$$A_n = \{x : |f(x)| \geq n\}, \quad f_n = f \cdot \chi_{A_n}, \quad g_n = f - f_n.$$

Then  $(f_n) \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  pointwise. Thus  $\phi(f_n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $u_m(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Also,  $\phi(g_n) = u_m(g_n)$ . It follows that  $\phi(f) - u_m(f) = 0$ , which completes the proof.

Combining Theorems 34 and 35, we get

**Theorem 36.** *The completion of the space  $G = (L(X), e)$  coincides with the space  $M_{sv_o}(X)$  equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on the members of  $\mathcal{E}$ .*

By Theorem 33,  $M_b(X)$  is a subspace of  $M_{sv_o}(X)$ . We will denote also by  $e$  the topology on  $M_b(X)$  of uniform convergence on the members of  $\mathcal{E}$ . For  $d$  a continuous ultrapseudometric on  $X$ , let  $\pi_d : X \rightarrow X_d$  be the quotient map and let  $S_d : C(X_d) \rightarrow C(X)$  be the induced linear map. As it is shown in Theorem 34, if  $m \in M_{sv_o}(X)$ , then  $S_d^* m$  has compact support, i.e.  $S_d^* m \in M_c(X_d)$ .

**Lemma 15.** *For each continuous ultrapseudometric  $d$  on  $X$ , the map*

$$S_d^* : (M_{sv_o}(X), e) \rightarrow (M_c(X_d), e)$$

*is continuous.*

*Proof:* Let  $A \in \mathcal{E}(X_d)$ ,  $B = S_d(A)$ . Then  $B \in \mathcal{E}(X)$ . If  $B^\circ$  is the polar of  $B$  in  $M_{sv_o}(X)$  and  $A^\circ$  the polar of  $A$  in  $M_b(X_d) = M_c(X_d)$ , then  $S_d^*(B^\circ) \subset A^\circ$  and the result follows.

**Theorem 37.**  *$(M_{sv_o}(X), e)$  is the projective limit of the spaces  $(M_c(X_d), e)$ , with respect to the maps  $S_d^*$ , where  $d$  ranges over the family of all continuous ultrapseudometrics on  $X$ .*

*Proof:* We need to show that  $e$  is the weakest of all locally convex topologies  $\tau$  on  $M_{sv_o}(X)$  for which each of the maps

$$S_d^* : (M_{sv_o}(X), \tau) \rightarrow (M_c(X_d), e)$$

is continuous. So, let  $\tau$  be such a topology and let  $B \in \mathcal{E}(X)$ . Define

$$d(x, y) = \sup_{f \in B} |f(x) - f(y)|.$$

Then  $d$  is a continuous ultrapseudometric on  $X$ . For each  $f \in B$ , the function

$$\tilde{f} : X_d \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}_d) = f(x)$$

is well defined and continuous. Clearly the set  $A = \{\tilde{f} : f \in B\}$  is in  $\mathcal{E}(X_d)$ . Since  $S_d^*$  is  $\tau$ -continuous, the set  $M = (S_d^*)^{-1}(A^o)$  is a  $\tau$ -neighborhood of zero. But  $M \subset B^o$ . Thus  $B^o$  is a  $\tau$ -neighborhood of zero, which proves that  $\tau$  is finer than  $e$ . Hence the result follows.

**Theorem 38.** *For an  $m \in M(X)$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $m \in M_{sv_o}(X)$ .
- (2) For each continuous ultrapseudometric  $d$  on  $X$  the measure

$$m_d : K(X_d) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad m_d(A) = m(\pi_d^{-1}(A))$$

has compact support.

- (3) For each clopen partition  $(A_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $X$ , there exists a finite subset  $J_o$  of  $I$  such that  $m(\bigcup_{i \notin J} A_i) = 0$  for all finite subsets  $J$  of  $I$  which contain  $J_o$ .

*Proof:* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). It follows from the fact that  $m_d = S_d^* m$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let  $(A_i)_{i \in I}$  be a clopen partition of  $X$  and take  $f_i = \chi_{A_i}$ . If  $B_i = \pi_d(A_i)$ , then  $(B_i)_{i \in I}$  is a clopen partition of  $X_d$ . Let  $Z$  be a compact support of  $m_d$ . There exists a finite subset  $J_o$  of  $I$  such that  $Z \subset \bigcup_{i \in J_o} B_i$ . Let the finite subset  $J$  of  $I$  contain  $J_o$ . If  $A = \bigcup_{i \notin J} A_i$  and  $B = \pi_d(A)$ , then  $0 = m_d(B) = m(\pi_d^{-1}(B)) = m(A)$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $(A_i)_{i \in I}$  be a clopen partition of  $X$  and let  $J_o$  be as in (3). Clearly  $m(A_i) = 0$  for all  $i \notin J_o$ . Thus

$$m(X) = m\left(\bigcup_{i \in J_o} A_i\right) + m\left(\bigcup_{i \notin J_o} A_i\right) = \sum_{i \in J_o} m(A_i) = \sum_{i \in I} m(A_i),$$

and so  $m \in M_s(X)$  by [12], Theorem 6.9. To show that

$$\text{supp}(m^{\beta_o}) \subset v_o X$$

it suffices, by Theorem 6.1, to show that if  $(W_n)$  is a sequence of clopen subsets of  $X$ , with  $W_n \downarrow \emptyset$ , then there exists  $n_o$  such that  $m(W_n) = 0$  if  $n \geq n_o$ . Given such a sequence, let  $D_1 = W_1^c$ ,  $D_{n+1} = W_n \setminus W_{n+1}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $(D_n)$  is a clopen partition of  $X$ . By our hypothesis, there exists  $n_o$  such that  $m(\bigcup_{n \geq n_1} D_n) = 0$  if  $n_1 \geq n_o$ . For each  $n$ , we have  $W_n = \bigcup_{k > n} D_k$ . Hence, for  $n \geq n_o$ , we have  $m(W_n) = 0$ , which completes the proof.

## References

- [1] J. AGUAYO, A. K. KATSARAS, S. NAVARRO: *On the dual space for the strict topology  $\beta_1$  and the space  $M(X)$  in function spaces*, Cont. Math. vol. **384** (2005), 15–37.

- [2] J. AGUAYO, N. DE GRANDE-DE KIMPE, S. NAVARRO: *Strict locally convex topologies on  $BC(X, \mathbb{K})$* , Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, v. **192**, Marcel Dekker, New York (1997), 1–9.
- [3] J. AGUAYO, N. DE GRANDE-DE KIMPE, S. NAVARRO: *Zero-dimensional pseudocompact and ultraparacompact spaces*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, v. **192**, Marcel Dekker, New York (1997), 11–37.
- [4] J. AGUAYO, N. DE GRANDE-DE KIMPE, S. NAVARRO: *Strict topologies and duals in spaces of functions*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, v. **207**, Marcel Dekker, New York (1999), 1–10.
- [5] G. BACHMAN, E. BECKENSTEIN, L. NARICI, S. WARNER: *Rings of continuous functions with values in a topological field*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **204** (1975), 91–112.
- [6] N. DE GRANDE-DE KIMPE, S. NAVARRO: *Non-Archimedean nuclearity and spaces of continuous functions*, Indag. Math., N.S. **2(2)**(1991), 201–206.
- [7] W. GOVAERTS: *Locally convex spaces of non-Archimedean valued functions*, Pacific J. of Math., vol. **109**, no 2 (1983), 399–410.
- [8] A. K. KATSARAS: *Duals of non-Archimedean vector-valued function spaces*, Bull. Greek Math. Soc. **22** (1981), 25–43.
- [9] A. K. KATSARAS: *The strict topology in non-Archimedean vector-valued function spaces*, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wet. A **87 (2)** (1984), 189–201.
- [10] A. K. KATSARAS: *Strict topologies in non-Archimedean function spaces*, Intern. J. Math. and Math. Sci. **7 (1)**, (1984), 23–33.
- [11] A. K. KATSARAS: *On the strict topology in non-Archimedean spaces of continuous functions*, Glasnik Mat. vol **35 (55)** (2000), 283–305.
- [12] A. K. KATSARAS: *Separable measures and strict topologies on spaces of non-Archimedean valued functions*, in: P-adic Numbers in Number Theory, Analytic Geometry and Functional Analysis, edited by S. Caenepeel, Bull. Belgian Math., (2002), 117–139.
- [13] A. K. KATSARAS: *Strict topologies and vector measures on non-Archimedean spaces*, Cont. Math. vol. **319** (2003), 109–129.
- [14] A. K. KATSARAS: *Non-Archimedean integration and strict topologies*, Cont. Math. vol. **384** (2005), 111–144.
- [15] A. K. KATSARAS: *Bornological spaces of non-Archimedean valued functions*, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wet. A **90**(1987), 41–50.
- [16] A. K. KATSARAS: *The non-Archimedean Grothendieck's completeness theorem*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **19** (1991), 351–354.
- [17] A. K. KATSARAS: *P-adic Spaces of continuous functions I*, Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal **15**(2008), 109–133.
- [18] A. K. KATSARAS: *P-adic Spaces of continuous functions II*, Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal **15**(2008), 169–188.
- [19] A.K. KATSARAS, A. BELOYIANNIS: *Tensor products in non-Archimedean weighted spaces of continuous functions*, Georgian J. Math. Vol. **6**, no 1 (1994), 33–44.
- [20] A. K. KATSARAS, A. BELOYIANNIS: *On the topology of compactoid convergence in non-Archimedean spaces*, Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal, Vol. **3(2)** (1996), 135–153.
- [21] C. PEREZ-GARCIA: *P-adic Ascoli theorems and compactoid polynomials*, Indag. Math. N. S. **3 (2)** (1993), 203–210.



- [22] J. B. PROLLA: Approximation of vector-valued functions, North Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1977.
- [23] A.C.M. VAN ROOIJ: Non-Archimedean Functional Analysis, New York and Bassel, Marcel Dekker, 1978.
- [24] A.C.M. VAN ROOIJ, W.H. SCHIKHOF Non-Archimedean Integration Theory, Indag. Math. **31**(1969), 190–199.
- [25] W.H. SCHIKHOF: *Locally convex spaces over non-spherically complete fields I, II*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg., Ser. B, **38** (1986), 187–224.